Page 1 Page 3 1 BEFORE THE POLICE BOARD **HEARING OFFICER WALKER:** Next matter OF THE CITY OF CHICAGO 2 2 before the Police Board is that of Sergeant IN THE MATTER OF CHARGES 3 FILED AGAINST Case No. 13PB2827 3 Duane A. Bennett, case number 13-2827. SERGEANT DUANE A. BENNETT. 4 MR. POLK: Patrick Polk for the 5 5 Superintendent. 6 MR. HERBERT: And good morning, Madam 7 REPORT OF THE VIDEOTAPED PROCEEDINGS 7 Hearing Officer, Counsel, ladies and gentlemen 8 had at the hearing in the above-entitled matter of the Board. My name is Dan Herbert and I 9 before Ms. Jacqueline A. Walker, Hearing represent Duane Bennett. 10 Officer, at 30 North LaSalle Street, Suite **HEARING OFFICER WALKER:** Okay. This 11 1240, Chicago, Illinois, on May 21, 2013, at 11 matter is set for hearing today. 12 the hour of 10:15 a.m. Is the Department ready to 12 13 proceed? 13 14 APPEARANCES: MR. POLK: We are. 14 CITY OF CHICAGO 15 **HEARING OFFICER WALKER:** Is the Respondent 15 DEPARTMENT OF LAW
BY: MR. PATRICK POLK
30 North LaSalle Street 16 ready to proceed? 16 17 Suite 1020 MR. HERBERT: Yes. 17 Chicago, Illinois 60602, 18 **HEARING OFFICER WALKER:** Any preliminary 18 on behalf of the Superintendent; 19 matters on behalf of the Department? 19 MR. DANIEL O. HERBERT MR. POLK: Yes, Hearing Officer. 20 20 On behalf of Respondent; 21 First we would like to enter the 21 POLICE BOARD OF THE CITY OF CHICAGO 22 stipulation that we discussed at the prehearing 22 MR. MAX CAPRONI 23 conference into the record. **HEARING OFFICER WALKER:** We'll hold for 24 Page 4 Page 2 1 INDEX 1 the stipulations in a minute. Anything else? 2 OPENING STATEMENTS PAGE MR. POLK: Separately, I realized I would 3 By Mr. Herbert..... like to enter the relevant general order from 4 the Police Department and the Illinois compiled 5 PAGE WITNESS: 5 statute that are referenced in the charges that 6 DAWN HAHN I hadn't entered into evidence, I would like to Direct Examination by Mr. Polk......14-30
Cross-Examination by Mr. Herbert....30-35
Redirect Examination by Mr. Polk.....35-37
Recross-Examination by Mr. Herbert...37-39 7 also enter that. 8 HEARING OFFICER WALKER: We'll do that 9 JAMES O'DONNELL momentarily. Anything else? Direct Examination by Mr. Herbert....39-57
Cross-Examination by Mr. Polk......57-77
Redirect Examination by Mr. Herbert...77-78
Recross-Examination by Mr. Polk......78-79 10 10 MR. POLK: That's all. 11 **HEARING OFFICER WALKER:** Anything of a 12 THOMAS MCMAHON preliminary nature on behalf of the Respondent? Direct Examination by Mr. Herbert....79-84 13 MR. HERBERT: Nothing. 14 Direct Examination by Mr. Herbert....84-89 HEARING OFFICER WALKER: Mr. Herbert, does 14 15 DANIEL LOCKARD Sergeant Bennett acknowledge receipt of the Direct Examination by Mr. Herbert....89-94 16 charges at least five days prior to today's PATRICIA CIARA 17 17 date? Direct Examination by Mr. Herbert....94-98 **MR. HERBERT:** He does, yes. 18 RONALD FORGUE 18 Direct Examination by Mr. Herbert....98-103 **HEARING OFFICER WALKER:** Does he wish to 19 19 OFFICER BAADER 20 have those charges read? 20 Direct Examination by Mr. Herbert...103-105 21 21 MR. HERBERT: No. We'll waive formal 22 reading. 22 EXHIBITS HEARING OFFICER WALKER: How does he plead 23 23 SUPERINTENDENT EXHIBIT NO. EVD 24 to the charges? 24 3.....63

Page 8

Page 5

MR. HERBERT: Pleads not guilty.

HEARING OFFICER WALKER: Okay. All right.

3 On behalf of the Department, we will start with

4 an opening statement, please, Mr. Polk.

MR. POLK: Yes. Hearing Officer Walker,

members of the Board, counsel.

Sergeant Duane Bennett is charged

with violating the rules and regulations of the

Chicago Police Board. He is charged with

violating a law or ordinance impeding the

Department's efforts to achieve its policies

and goals, or bringing discredit upon the

Department and disobeying an order or

directive, whether written or oral. 14

All of the essential facts are uncontested and he should be dismissed from the 16

Chicago Police Department. 17

On July 10th, 2012, Sergeant 18

Bennett was selected for a random drug test. 19

The first screening test was 20

positive for marijuana metabolites. A second

test was performed and it conformed that his

urine contained a specific marijuana metabolite

at a concentration of 33 nanograms

Bennett.

2 Mr. Bennett is a 49-year-old man,

married man, father of three children. Grew up

in the Chicago-land area. His kids are also

raised in the Chicago-land area. They attended

Mount Carmel high school. One of them

graduated from there. Is currently attending

Moraine Valley. His other son is a junior at

Mount Carmel. And his daughter is currently 9

going into eighth grade. 10

Sergeant Bennett lives with his 11

three children along with his wife who will be 12

a witness in this case as well. 13

14 Mr. Bennett is a Morgan Park High

School graduate. And he also graduated from 15

Oklahoma Christian University in 1987, wherein 16

he earned his degree in business 17

administration. 18

19 He also was an active athlete

there where he played on the baseball team in

college.

As I stated, Sergeant Bennett has 22

23 been a police officer for the Chicago Police

24 Department for 22 years.

His record is nothing short of

exemplary. 2

The record which will be admitted 3

to the Board shows he is a highly, highly

decorated police officer, both as a patrolman

and also as a sergeant. 6

What is more, his record will 7

show he's never been disciplined by the Chicago

Police Department. 9

And it's important in the context 10

of this case that we look at the character of 11

Sergeant Bennett. His character is once again 12

exemplary. He's active in his community. He's 13

a volunteer coach of the Ridge-Beverly little 14

league baseball community. He's coached 15

basketball at Sutherland Elementary. 16

17 What brings us here today as

Mr. Polk stated in his opening is a positive 18

drug test for the use of marijuana. 19

Now, when Sergeant Bennett 20

21 received the news that he had tested positive

22 for marijuana, his reaction was that of

23 somebody that was completely innocent.

His reaction was, I don't know

Page 6

1 milliliter.

15

He stipulated that the test was 2

properly performed; that there was a valid

4 chain of custody throughout the testing

process. He's also stipulated to the results

of the drug test. He does not contest the

essential facts behind the charges against him. You will hear testimony from Dawn

8

Hahn from Quest Diagnostics. She will explain the technology behind the tests that Quest 10

performed and how Sergeant Bennett's drug test

results are internally consistent. 12

The Superintendent cannot 13

tolerate an officer violating the rules and

regulations of the Police Department in this 15

manner. 16

The Superintendent respectfully 17

requests that you separate Sergeant Bennett 18

from the Department. 19

HEARING OFFICER WALKER: Thank you, 20

21 Mr. Polk.

22 Mr. Herbert.

MR. HERBERT: Good morning, again. It's 23

24 my pleasure to represent Sergeant Duane

Page 9 Page 11 1 how this could be. I don't smoke marijuana. His explanation is substantial. 2 There has to be a mistake. 2 And it's substantial because it supports the 3 doctor -- the doctor's opinion that this was an As the evidence will show, he 3 4 went down to internal affairs to give a 4 environmental exposure. 5 statement, and his answers were the same there What we're going to ask from the 6 as they're going to be at the Board when he 6 Board is that Sergeant Bennett be given the 7 benefit of doubt in this case. 7 testifies, and that is that I didn't smoke 8 marijuana. There has to be a mistake. We need to look at Sergeant 8 He racked his brain to come up 9 Bennett and this positive test in the complete 10 with somehow a reason as to why this false 10 and proper context. And that is within the 11 result could have happened. 11 full utilization of the totality of And what the evidence will show 12 circumstances. 13 about the result in this matter is, the 13 And I believe that at the 14 evidence is above the minimal threshold level: 14 conclusion of this case, after looking at it in 15 however, as our expert Dr. James O'Donnell --15 that light, it's going to be clear that Duane 16 who is one of the leaders in the community of 16 Bennett's exposure to marijuana was clearly 17 pharmacological studies and has testified in 17 from environmental exposure and not from the 18 front of this Board, as well as numerous courts 18 intentional use of which -- and the 19 within Illinois, over 350 times he served as an 19 significance of that is that Sergeant Bennett 20 expert witness, both for prosecution and 20 certainly, certainly does not deserve to be 21 defense. Both for respondents and moving 21 fired based upon being exposed to marijuana in 22 parties. The evidence will show that this 22 an innocent manner, being exposed to it in a 23 level is of such a minimal level, the level 23 manner that was a result of him conducting his 24 that was tested for, that it's more likely than 24 job as a police officer. Page 10 Page 12 I'm going to ask the Board at the 1 not that the level, the positive test, was a 2 conclusion of this case to find him not guilty 2 result from environmental exposure versus 3 of the charges that are against him. Thank 3 intentional use. Duane Bennett talked about --4 you. HEARING OFFICER WALKER: Okay. Thank you, 5 when he was racking his brain to figure out 6 what could cause his positive result, he talked 6 Mr. Herbert. All right. Mr. Polk, before call your first 7 about possibilities which may have led to this 8 witness, we'll take those stipulations now. 8 result. MR. POLK: Do I tender them or read them? As I've stated, he was a police 10 officer for 22 years. He's taken dozens of **HEARING OFFICER WALKER:** You can just 10 11 drug tests, and he's never flunked a drug test 11 tender them. Has Mr. Herbert signed it? 12 until this test at issue. 12 MR. HERBERT: Yes. He talked about how his son -- he MR. POLK: Yes. 13 13 HEARING OFFICER WALKER: Okay. You can 14 had caught his son smoking marijuana on a day 15 just tell us briefly for the record what it 15 prior to this test. 16 pertains to or what they pertain to. He had talked about how as a 16 MR. POLK: For the record, the two parties 17 sergeant in the police department he had been 18 exposed to marijuana on numerous occasions, stipulated that essentially the test was 19 both from entering rooms where fresh marijuana performed properly; that the chain of custody 20 was intact; and that -- and to the actual 20 had been smoke end as well as handling 21 marijuana. 21 result of the two tests themselves, that the He's also talked about how he 22 first test was positive as a screening test and 23 attended a concert and there was marijuana 23 that the second test, the confirmation test, 24 being smoked. 24 found 33 nanograms milliliter. And attached

	Page 13		Page 15
1	are three Joint Exhibits. One, the specimen	1	world.
1	affidavit. Two, the collection. And three,	2	Q. What is your position at Quest?
	the printout of the drug detail report itself.	3	A. I am a responsible person and
4	HEARING OFFICER WALKER: All right. And,	4	operations laboratory operations manager.
1	Mr. Herbert, are you in agreement with those	5	Q. And what are your duties and
	stipulations?	6	responsibilities in those two roles?
7	MR. HERBERT: Yes.	7	A. My duties include just the day-to-day
8	HEARING OFFICER WALKER: Fine. Anything	8	operations of the laboratory. Also as
	else?	9	responsible person my duties include making
10	MR. POLK: Just those two. The general	10	sure that there is a standard operating
	order pertaining to drug tests and the ILCS	11	procedure; that the employees have been trained
1	provision that I mentioned.	12	on that standard operating procedure; that
13	HEARING OFFICER WALKER: For judicial	13	there's a training program for the employees;
	notice?	14	that we have enough staff; that we have set
15	MR. POLK: Yes.	15	quality control limits for our assays, and that
16	HEARING OFFICER WALKER: I'll take those	16	they have been constantly being reviewed;
17	as well. Thank you.	17	that we also have validated our methods and
18	So the stipulations will be Joint	18	that they'll hold up forensically. PT
19		19	corrective actions. Inspection corrective
20	them marked correctly, judicial notice will be	20	actions.
	Exhibits 1 and 2.	21	Q. How long have you held your current
22	MR. POLK: Thank you.	22	position at Quest?
23	(WHEREUPON, said	23	A. I have been laboratory operations
24	document was marked as	24	manager since I believe September of 2010. And
	Page 14		Page 16
1	Joint Exhibit No. 1 for	1	I was interviewed by the National Laboratory
1 2		1 2	I was interviewed by the National Laboratory Certification Program and named responsible
1 2 3	Identification .)		Certification Program and named responsible
2		2	
2	Identification .) HEARING OFFICER WALKER: Call your first	2	Certification Program and named responsible person in August of 2006.
2 3 4	Identification .) HEARING OFFICER WALKER: Call your first witness, please. MR. POLK: The Superintendent calls Dawn	2 3 4	Certification Program and named responsible person in August of 2006. Q. What other positions have you held
2 3 4 5	Identification .) HEARING OFFICER WALKER: Call your first witness, please. MR. POLK: The Superintendent calls Dawn	2 3 4 5	Certification Program and named responsible person in August of 2006. Q. What other positions have you held previously at Quest?
2 3 4 5 6	Identification .) HEARING OFFICER WALKER: Call your first witness, please. MR. POLK: The Superintendent calls Dawn Hahn. (Witness was duly sworn.)	2 3 4 5 6	Certification Program and named responsible person in August of 2006. Q. What other positions have you held previously at Quest? A. I have been positive certifying scientist, a negative certifying scientist. I have been a manager of the laboratory when it
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	Identification .) HEARING OFFICER WALKER: Call your first witness, please. MR. POLK: The Superintendent calls Dawn Hahn. (Witness was duly sworn.) DAWN HAHN,	2 3 4 5 6 7	Certification Program and named responsible person in August of 2006. Q. What other positions have you held previously at Quest? A. I have been positive certifying scientist, a negative certifying scientist. I have been a manager of the laboratory when it was lab one. I was director of the laboratory.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	Identification .) HEARING OFFICER WALKER: Call your first witness, please. MR. POLK: The Superintendent calls Dawn Hahn. (Witness was duly sworn.) DAWN HAHN, called as a witness herein, after having been	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	Certification Program and named responsible person in August of 2006. Q. What other positions have you held previously at Quest? A. I have been positive certifying scientist, a negative certifying scientist. I have been a manager of the laboratory when it was lab one. I was director of the laboratory. Q. How long have you worked for Quest
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	Identification .) HEARING OFFICER WALKER: Call your first witness, please. MR. POLK: The Superintendent calls Dawn Hahn. (Witness was duly sworn.) DAWN HAHN, called as a witness herein, after having been first duly sworn, was examined and testified as	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	Certification Program and named responsible person in August of 2006. Q. What other positions have you held previously at Quest? A. I have been positive certifying scientist, a negative certifying scientist. I have been a manager of the laboratory when it was lab one. I was director of the laboratory. Q. How long have you worked for Quest total?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	Identification .) HEARING OFFICER WALKER: Call your first witness, please. MR. POLK: The Superintendent calls Dawn Hahn. (Witness was duly sworn.) DAWN HAHN, called as a witness herein, after having been first duly sworn, was examined and testified as follows:	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	Certification Program and named responsible person in August of 2006. Q. What other positions have you held previously at Quest? A. I have been positive certifying scientist, a negative certifying scientist. I have been a manager of the laboratory when it was lab one. I was director of the laboratory. Q. How long have you worked for Quest total? A. About 25 and a half years.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	Identification .) HEARING OFFICER WALKER: Call your first witness, please. MR. POLK: The Superintendent calls Dawn Hahn. (Witness was duly sworn.) DAWN HAHN, called as a witness herein, after having been first duly sworn, was examined and testified as follows: DIRECT EXAMINATION	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	Certification Program and named responsible person in August of 2006. Q. What other positions have you held previously at Quest? A. I have been positive certifying scientist, a negative certifying scientist. I have been a manager of the laboratory when it was lab one. I was director of the laboratory. Q. How long have you worked for Quest total? A. About 25 and a half years. Q. What is your educational background?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	Identification .) HEARING OFFICER WALKER: Call your first witness, please. MR. POLK: The Superintendent calls Dawn Hahn. (Witness was duly sworn.) DAWN HAHN, called as a witness herein, after having been first duly sworn, was examined and testified as follows: DIRECT EXAMINATION BY MR. POLK:	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	Certification Program and named responsible person in August of 2006. Q. What other positions have you held previously at Quest? A. I have been positive certifying scientist, a negative certifying scientist. I have been a manager of the laboratory when it was lab one. I was director of the laboratory. Q. How long have you worked for Quest total? A. About 25 and a half years. Q. What is your educational background? A. I have bachelor of arts degree in
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	Identification .) HEARING OFFICER WALKER: Call your first witness, please. MR. POLK: The Superintendent calls Dawn Hahn. (Witness was duly sworn.) DAWN HAHN, called as a witness herein, after having been first duly sworn, was examined and testified as follows: DIRECT EXAMINATION BY MR. POLK: Q. Good morning.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	Certification Program and named responsible person in August of 2006. Q. What other positions have you held previously at Quest? A. I have been positive certifying scientist. I have been a manager of the laboratory when it was lab one. I was director of the laboratory. Q. How long have you worked for Quest total? A. About 25 and a half years. Q. What is your educational background? A. I have bachelor of arts degree in medical technology, and I am ASCP certified as
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	Identification .) HEARING OFFICER WALKER: Call your first witness, please. MR. POLK: The Superintendent calls Dawn Hahn. (Witness was duly sworn.) DAWN HAHN, called as a witness herein, after having been first duly sworn, was examined and testified as follows: DIRECT EXAMINATION BY MR. POLK: Q. Good morning. A. Good morning.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	Certification Program and named responsible person in August of 2006. Q. What other positions have you held previously at Quest? A. I have been positive certifying scientist, a negative certifying scientist. I have been a manager of the laboratory when it was lab one. I was director of the laboratory. Q. How long have you worked for Quest total? A. About 25 and a half years. Q. What is your educational background? A. I have bachelor of arts degree in medical technology, and I am ASCP certified as a medical technologist. And then I have my
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	Identification .) HEARING OFFICER WALKER: Call your first witness, please. MR. POLK: The Superintendent calls Dawn Hahn. (Witness was duly sworn.) DAWN HAHN, called as a witness herein, after having been first duly sworn, was examined and testified as follows: DIRECT EXAMINATION BY MR. POLK: Q. Good morning. A. Good morning. Q. Could you please state and spell your	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	Certification Program and named responsible person in August of 2006. Q. What other positions have you held previously at Quest? A. I have been positive certifying scientist, a negative certifying scientist. I have been a manager of the laboratory when it was lab one. I was director of the laboratory. Q. How long have you worked for Quest total? A. About 25 and a half years. Q. What is your educational background? A. I have bachelor of arts degree in medical technology, and I am ASCP certified as a medical technologist. And then I have my years of experience here as employer solutions
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	Identification .) HEARING OFFICER WALKER: Call your first witness, please. MR. POLK: The Superintendent calls Dawn Hahn. (Witness was duly sworn.) DAWN HAHN, called as a witness herein, after having been first duly sworn, was examined and testified as follows: DIRECT EXAMINATION BY MR. POLK: Q. Good morning. A. Good morning. Q. Could you please state and spell your name for the record.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	Certification Program and named responsible person in August of 2006. Q. What other positions have you held previously at Quest? A. I have been positive certifying scientist, a negative certifying scientist. I have been a manager of the laboratory when it was lab one. I was director of the laboratory. Q. How long have you worked for Quest total? A. About 25 and a half years. Q. What is your educational background? A. I have bachelor of arts degree in medical technology, and I am ASCP certified as a medical technologist. And then I have my years of experience here as employer solutions or drug testing.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	Identification .) HEARING OFFICER WALKER: Call your first witness, please. MR. POLK: The Superintendent calls Dawn Hahn. (Witness was duly sworn.) DAWN HAHN, called as a witness herein, after having been first duly sworn, was examined and testified as follows: DIRECT EXAMINATION BY MR. POLK: Q. Good morning. A. Good morning. Q. Could you please state and spell your name for the record. A. Sure. Dawn Hahn. D-A-W-N. H-A-H-N.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	Certification Program and named responsible person in August of 2006. Q. What other positions have you held previously at Quest? A. I have been positive certifying scientist. I have been a manager of the laboratory when it was lab one. I was director of the laboratory. Q. How long have you worked for Quest total? A. About 25 and a half years. Q. What is your educational background? A. I have bachelor of arts degree in medical technology, and I am ASCP certified as a medical technologist. And then I have my years of experience here as employer solutions or drug testing. Q. Do you have any other training?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	Identification .) HEARING OFFICER WALKER: Call your first witness, please. MR. POLK: The Superintendent calls Dawn Hahn. (Witness was duly sworn.) DAWN HAHN, called as a witness herein, after having been first duly sworn, was examined and testified as follows: DIRECT EXAMINATION BY MR. POLK: Q. Good morning. A. Good morning. Q. Could you please state and spell your name for the record. A. Sure. Dawn Hahn. D-A-W-N. H-A-H-N. Q. Who is your employer?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	Certification Program and named responsible person in August of 2006. Q. What other positions have you held previously at Quest? A. I have been positive certifying scientist. I have been a manager of the laboratory when it was lab one. I was director of the laboratory. Q. How long have you worked for Quest total? A. About 25 and a half years. Q. What is your educational background? A. I have bachelor of arts degree in medical technology, and I am ASCP certified as a medical technologist. And then I have my years of experience here as employer solutions or drug testing. Q. Do you have any other training? A. I have besides being ASCP certified
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	Identification .) HEARING OFFICER WALKER: Call your first witness, please. MR. POLK: The Superintendent calls Dawn Hahn. (Witness was duly sworn.) DAWN HAHN, called as a witness herein, after having been first duly sworn, was examined and testified as follows: DIRECT EXAMINATION BY MR. POLK: Q. Good morning. A. Good morning. Q. Could you please state and spell your name for the record. A. Sure. Dawn Hahn. D-A-W-N. H-A-H-N. Q. Who is your employer? A. Quest Diagnostics in Lenexa, Kansas.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	Certification Program and named responsible person in August of 2006. Q. What other positions have you held previously at Quest? A. I have been positive certifying scientist. I have been a manager of the laboratory when it was lab one. I was director of the laboratory. Q. How long have you worked for Quest total? A. About 25 and a half years. Q. What is your educational background? A. I have bachelor of arts degree in medical technology, and I am ASCP certified as a medical technologist. And then I have my years of experience here as employer solutions or drug testing. Q. Do you have any other training? A. I have besides being ASCP certified for my med tech degree, I also hold a
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	Identification .) HEARING OFFICER WALKER: Call your first witness, please. MR. POLK: The Superintendent calls Dawn Hahn. (Witness was duly sworn.) DAWN HAHN, called as a witness herein, after having been first duly sworn, was examined and testified as follows: DIRECT EXAMINATION BY MR. POLK: Q. Good morning. A. Good morning. Q. Could you please state and spell your name for the record. A. Sure. Dawn Hahn. D-A-W-N. H-A-H-N. Q. Who is your employer? A. Quest Diagnostics in Lenexa, Kansas. Q. What is Quest Diagnostics?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	Certification Program and named responsible person in August of 2006. Q. What other positions have you held previously at Quest? A. I have been positive certifying scientist. I have been a manager of the laboratory when it was lab one. I was director of the laboratory. Q. How long have you worked for Quest total? A. About 25 and a half years. Q. What is your educational background? A. I have bachelor of arts degree in medical technology, and I am ASCP certified as a medical technologist. And then I have my years of experience here as employer solutions or drug testing. Q. Do you have any other training? A. I have besides being ASCP certified for my med tech degree, I also hold a certification in it's called FTCB, Forensic
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	Identification .) HEARING OFFICER WALKER: Call your first witness, please. MR. POLK: The Superintendent calls Dawn Hahn. (Witness was duly sworn.) DAWN HAHN, called as a witness herein, after having been first duly sworn, was examined and testified as follows: DIRECT EXAMINATION BY MR. POLK: Q. Good morning. A. Good morning. Q. Could you please state and spell your name for the record. A. Sure. Dawn Hahn. D-A-W-N. H-A-H-N. Q. Who is your employer? A. Quest Diagnostics in Lenexa, Kansas.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	Certification Program and named responsible person in August of 2006. Q. What other positions have you held previously at Quest? A. I have been positive certifying scientist. I have been a manager of the laboratory when it was lab one. I was director of the laboratory. Q. How long have you worked for Quest total? A. About 25 and a half years. Q. What is your educational background? A. I have bachelor of arts degree in medical technology, and I am ASCP certified as a medical technologist. And then I have my years of experience here as employer solutions or drug testing. Q. Do you have any other training? A. I have besides being ASCP certified for my med tech degree, I also hold a

Page 20

Page 17

- laboratory inspector and a director of a SAMHSA
- certified lab, I attend the annual SOFT 2
- meeting, it's the Society of Forensic 3
- Toxicologists, and they hold an annual meeting 4
- where they offer training every year for the 5
- inspectors and lab directors. 6
- Q. What do you need in order to be 7
- certified? 8
- A. In order to be certified as a 9
- forensic -- or the SAMHSA certification? 10
- Q. Both. 11

1

2

3

4

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

20

21

23

24

- A. In order to get your FTCB 12
- certification, you have to sit for an 13
- exam -- first of all, you have to have the 14
- proper educational background, certain number 15
- 16 of hours in chemistry and biology, and then you
- are allowed to sit for the test after you've 17
- had three years of forensic toxicology 18
- experience, and it's approximately a four-hour 19
- exam that you have to sit for, and then once 20
- you've passed the exam, then you have to keep 21
- it up by continuing education. 22
- Q. Have you been published? 23

there on oral fluid heroin.

24 A. I have a few publication articles out

Q. You've already touched on this a

professional associations do you belong to?

A. Society of Forensic Toxicology, the

I'm also a national laboratory certification

is College of American Pathologists. I have

state certifications as a supervisor, director

Q. I'm going to ask you a few questions

Q. Is Quest Diagnostics certified by an

A. Sure. We are both -- we are CLIA

Administration, which falls under DHHS.

Abuse and Mental Health Services

Q. And what's DHHS?

certified. We are CAP certified again. That's

the College of American Pathologists. We're

also SAMHSA certified which is the Substance

SOFT, MATT, which is Midwest Association of

program inspector. I'm a CAP inspector which

Toxicology and Therapeutic drug monitoring.

little bit, but what -- in general what

with CAP, Nevada, New York.

about Quest Diagnostics.

A. Sure.

- A. Department of Health and Human 1
- Services. 2
- Q. What does certification involve? 3
- 4 A. The initial application for
- certification for your SAMHSA certification
- is -- first of all, you have to pass three 6
- rounds of PTs, and PTs are proficiency testing 7
- samples where they -- they being the NLCP
- 9 program, send you unknowns. They know what the
- concentrations are or what's in those samples 10
- but the laboratory does not. So you have to 11
- test these samples. I think there's usually 12
- between 20 and 25 per PT set. And then you 13
- send your results back into the program, and 14
- they grade you both on accuracy and 15
- quantification. 16

17

18

Once you have passed your three

rounds of PT, they set you up for your initial

inspection, where they come in and they review 19

your procedures, your standard operating 20

procedures, your quality control. They start 21

interviewing the staff. And then if you've 22

passed that inspection, then they come back 23

again in three months. And then you're on -- I

guess you want to call it like the circuit

- where you get your quarterly PT sets every 2
- year, and then you also get inspected several 3
- times if you're a smaller laboratory category
- four and below. They base this all on size of
- the laboratory. You get inspected twice a
- year. If you are a larger laboratory, a 7
- category five, which we are at here Quest
- Diagnostics in Lenexa, you get inspected by the 9
- 10
- O. Once certified, can certification be 11
- 12 revoked?
- 13 A. Yes, it can.
- O. How? 14
- 15 A. There can be a series of problems that
- the laboratories had, such as reporting false 16
- positives, maybe the RP has done something that 17
- 19
- 20
- Q. What do you mean by RP again? 21
- A. Responsible person.
 - Q. When was your lab initially certified?
- A. 1994. 24

NLCP four times a year.

they didn't find was ethical. The RP may not 18

be spending enough time in the lab. They may

not have enough staff to -- for good results.

22

Page 18

Page 23 Page 21 Q. Has your lab always maintained 1 HEARING OFFICER WALKER: What would be the certification? 2 necessity for the internal consistency if there A. Yes. has been a stipulation as to the testing 3 3 procedure and the results? 4 Q. Does your lab conduct urine drug 4 testing for the City of Chicago? 5 MR. POLK: Because especially initially, 6 A. Yes. 6 the Respondent was willing to stipulate to the Q. In July of 2012, did your lab conduct 7 numerical results of the drug testing, but then 7 urine drug testing for the City of Chicago? indicated that he wanted to challenge the 8 8 A. Yes. consistency of the results because of the 9 9 Q. Are there standard procedures that difference between the initial test and the 10 10 Quest follows in conducting drug tests? confirmation test. And so I just want to 11 11 A. Yes, there are. explain how those tests are performed and why 12 12 Q. Are these procedures followed in every this result makes sense, and it will be very 13 13 case that a test is performed? brief and also just help explain the results 14 14 A. Yes, they are. that were stipulated to. And none of this is 15 15 Q. I'm now going to ask you about the in the stipulation. 16 16 specific test that we're here to discuss today. HEARING OFFICER WALKER: But the results 17 17 I'm showing you what's previously themselves have been stipulated to. I see here 18 18 been admitted into evidence as part of a number nine, tested positive for 50 nanograms 19 19 stipulation, the third attachment to the per mil. Number 10, the confirmation test was 20 20 stipulation. at 33 nanograms per mil. 21 21 22 HEARING OFFICER WALKER: Mr. Polk, hate to So I'm a little confused as to 22 23 interrupt you. If we have the stipulation why this additional information would be 23 already, where that document is attached, is it needed. 24 24 Page 22 Page 24 necessary for this witness to have that MR. POLK: Because the expert report that 1 1 document? was provided at the prehearing conference 2 2 raised the issue of the confirmation test being MR. POLK: I'm only going to really talk 3 3 about the tests that were performed and the particularly low and questioned its accuracy 4 4 technology behind them. I'm not going to get 5 because the initial test cutoff is 50 nanograms 5 into detail about the actual results. per milliliter, while the confirmation test 6 7 It won't focus on the actual test 7 tests specifically at 33 nanograms per milliliter. results which have been stipulated to, but 8 8 the -- the actual tests were performed and 9 I want to prevent any confusion 9 particularly because it was mentioned in the as to what the two different tests -- they test 10 10 for two separate things, and I want to explain expert report that was brought by the 11 11 respondent. I really want to emphasize that with my witness how these results are 12 12 the test results are internally consistent. consistent. 13 13 HEARING OFFICER WALKER: Well, maybe we HEARING OFFICER WALKER: Go ahead. But 14 14 should just get those, go right to those 15 keep in mind that I'm concerned if we have 15 questions, because frankly many of the 16 stipulations why we're going through the extent 16 questions you've asked so far I think are 17 of the certification of Quest Diagnostics and 17 18 handled in the stipulations. 18 questions of that nature. All right. Proceed. Just stick to the area that this witness will Is this witness serving as your 19 19 expert? 20 help us -- or help the Board to understand. 20 MR. POLK: Thank you. MR. POLK: No. We'll have a separate 21 21 witness as a rebuttal expert to talk about the 22 BY MR. POLK: 22 environmental factor that has been raised or 23 23 Q. As I mentioned, I'm handing you will presumably be raised by the Respondent. 24 attachment three to the stipulation.

Duane A. Bennett Page 25 Page 27 So looking at that document, A. The cutoff is 50, so 50. 1 1 please tell us about the tests that were O. 50 what? 2 2 A. Nanograms per milliliter. Sorry. conducted on laboratory session number 093273A. 3 3 A. It was a ten-panel drug screen with Q. Who determines what the threshold is 4 4 what's called specimen validity testing, and to constitute a positive screening test? 5 5 that's creatinine, PH and oxidizing A. In many cases, it's the client. Often 6 times the client goes by what's called the adulterants. 7 7 Q. Did the ten-panel drug test include a SAMHSA cutoffs for the same drugs that the 8 test for marijuana? 9 9 SAMHSA panel tests for. A. Yes. it did. Q. Do you know what the City of Chicago 10 10 Q. What is the name of the first test Police Department uses for its cutoffs? 11 that was performed? A. I believe they follow the SAMHSA 12 12 A. It's called an initial test or initial guidelines, so in this case it would be a 50 13 screen. screen and 15 confirm, which is consistent with 14 14 Q. And what type of test is that? the SAMHSA cutoffs. 15 15 16 A. The methodology that we use at Quest Q. What happens after the specimen 16 17 Diagnostics is enzyme immunoassay. screens positive for marijuana metabolites? 17 18 Q. What is an enzyme immunoassay? A. It goes on for confirmation testing. 18 19 A. An enzyme immunoassay is -- basically And when it goes on for confirmation testing, 19 20 it's a competitive binding between an antigen another aliquot from the original bottle is 20 and an antibody. The reagent, one of the 21 21 taken off the bottle and it's gone -- goes on 22 antigens is labeled with an enzyme, and then to extraction for the particular drug that it 22 there's basically a color reaction that takes 23 screened positive for. After that it goes on 23 place, and the amount of drug present in the 24 to the confirmation instrument, which is GCMS, Page 28 Page 26 sample is directly proportional to the color that stands for gas chromatograph mass 2 change. 2 spectrometer. Q. What is the purpose of the first test? O. What is GCMS? 3 3 A. The purpose of the first test is to A. GCMS, first of all, it's the standard 4 4 basically report -- find your negatives and get in drug testing for identifying a drug. It's 5 those reported. 6 two instruments that have been put together, a Anything that appears that there 7 gas chromatograph and a mass spectrometer. The might be something there or presumptive gas chromatograph separates the compounds that 8 9 positive then goes on for a confirmation test. may be in the urine and then the mass spectrometer identifies it, that particular 10 Q. Was it positive in this case? 10 compound, and then quantitates it. A. The initial test, yes. 11

11

Q. Does the enzyme immunoassay test 12

detect multiple marijuana metabolites? 13

A. Yes, it does. 14

15 Q. Approximately how many?

16 A. In the package reagent insert, it

showed it had tested for approximately -- I 17

believe it was seven similar -- structurally 18

19 similar compounds, and all seven did also

trigger a positive at very similar 20

concentrations of 50 and a hundred. 21

Q. What is the lowest level of marijuana 22

metabolites that would return a positive test 23

result on the enzyme immunoassay test?

Q. Is GCMS recognized as reliable in the 12

scientific community? 13

A. Yes, it is. 14

O. And what were the results in this 15

16 case?

17 A. In this particular case, 33 nanograms

per milliliter. 18

19 Q. And looking at the document in front

of you, it looks like the word metabolite is 20

singular on the confirmation test. Does that 21

mean that it detected only a single marijuana 22 metabolite? 23

A. At the confirmation you're only 24

21, 2013		Duane A. Benne
Page 29		Page 3
looking for one. You are looking for the	1	Q. Good morning, Ms. Hahn.
	2	A. Good morning.
	3	Q. How are you?
	4	A. Good. How are you?
	5	Q. Good. Thanks. You didn't work on the
	6	sample or you didn't do any testing on the
	7	sample that was provided by my client,
	8	Mr. Bennett, in this case, correct?
	9	A. No. I mean you are correct. No, did
		I not do any testing.
		Q. And fair to say that your knowledge is
		strictly limited to the reports that you have
·		viewed in this case?
		A. I guess I'm not following what you're
		asking me.
		Q. Probably a bad question.
		You don't have any independent
-		knowledge of Mr. Bennett providing a urine
•		sample and that urine sample being tested by
		Quest?
,		A. All I can tell you that the sample
		that was under 093273A was what was tested. I
		don't know whose it was or anything like that,
		if that's
Page 30		Page 32
nanograms per milliliter. Can you explain how	1	Q. Okay. You don't know who tested it?
that happened?	2	A. I do. It's in the lit package.
	3	Q. And that lit package is contained
marijuana screen picks up multiple metabolites	4	within the reports?
	5	A. Yes. Yeah, we provide all that,
	6	uh-huh.
• • •	7	Q. So it would be fair to say that your
	8	review of the reports that's basically
	9	A. Yes, correct.
is the THC acid or THC-COOH.	10	Q that's your knowledge for this
Q. Is this test result internally	11	case?
	12	A. Yes, yes.
A. No, it's not.	13	Q. And you talked about how certification
Q. Why not?	14	can be revoked for certain testing agencies.
	15	A. Uh-huh.
	16	Q. And you indicated that reporting of
confirmation test you are only looking for one	17	false positives could be grounds for
metabolite.	18	revocation?
MR. POLK: Thank you. No further	19	A. Could be.
	20	Q. Would it be fair to say that false
questions.	20	
questions. HEARING OFFICER WALKER:	21	positives are not uncommon when testing for
•		•
HEARING OFFICER WALKER:	21	positives are not uncommon when testing for
	looking for one. You are looking for the primary metabolite of marijuana and that is that's a few different names, but marijuana, or THCA, THC acid, carboxylic THC, they all mean the same thing. Q. Is that also called THC-COOH? A. Yes. Q. And what is the cutoff level for marijuana metabolite under the GCMS test? A. 15 nanograms per milliliter. Q. And who determines what the threshold is to constitute a positive confirmation test? A. Again, it can be client driven or if they're using the SAMHSA guidelines, it's the federal register and SAMHSA that determines what those cutoffs are. Q. And was the marijuana metabolite in laboratory session number 093273A above that threshold? A. Yes, it was. Q. Now, specifically I notice that the confirmation test was 33 nanograms per milliliter, and that's lower than the threshold for the initial screening test which was 50 Page 30 nanograms per milliliter. Can you explain how that happened? A. Sure. We touched on it. The marijuana screen picks up multiple metabolites of marijuana, not just THCA, and so it's looking for, like I said, multiple metabolites that are in that urine. When you're going to confirmation, you're just looking for the primary metabolite that is in urine, and that is the THC acid or THC-COOH. Q. Is this test result internally inconsistent? A. No, it's not. Q. Why not? A. Again, you're looking for the multiple metabolites in the initial test, where in the confirmation test you are only looking for one	looking for one. You are looking for the primary metabolite of marijuana and that is that's a few different names, but marijuana, or THCA, THC acid, carboxylic THC, they all mean the same thing. Q. Is that also called THC-COOH? A. Yes. Q. And what is the cutoff level for marijuana metabolite under the GCMS test? A. 15 nanograms per milliliter. Q. And who determines what the threshold is to constitute a positive confirmation test? A. Again, it can be client driven or if they're using the SAMHSA guidelines, it's the federal register and SAMHSA that determines what those cutoffs are. Q. And was the marijuana metabolite in laboratory session number 093273A above that threshold? A. Yes, it was. Q. Now, specifically I notice that the confirmation test was 33 nanograms per milliliter, and that's lower than the threshold for the initial screening test which was 50 Page 30 nanograms per milliliter. Can you explain how that happened? A. Sure. We touched on it. The marijuana screen picks up multiple metabolites of marijuana, not just THCA, and so it's looking for, like I said, multiple metabolites of marijuana, not just THCA, and so it's looking for, like I said, multiple metabolites that are in that urine. When you're going to confirmation, you're just looking for the primary metabolite that is in urine, and that is the THC acid or THC-COOH. Q. Is this test result internally inconsistent? A. No, it's not. Q. Why not? A. Again, you're looking for the multiple metabolites in the initial test, where in the confirmation test you are only looking for one

Duane A. Bennett Page 35 Page 33 Q. But they certainly do? levels, depending on the client, correct? 1 2 A. They do happen, usually it's clerical 2 A. Client, the governing agency, errors. Yes, they do happen. depending on what they're using, yes. 3 3 Q. Okay. With regard to these drug tests O. And in this case, for the confirmation 4 4 results, does Quest implement a margin of error test, the cutoff level or the threshold level 5 5 into the results? was 15 NGs, correct? 6 A. There is -- I don't know if I'm 7 A. Correct. 7 following, but our quality control, like 8 Q. And fair to say that other clients use a higher cutoff level? when -- we have to run quality control with all 9 9 A. Actually, most use a lower if it's of our confirmation batches, and the quality 10 10 control, the acceptable range in the industry, 11 non-regulated. 15 is about the highest that we 11 is plus -- you establish a mean which means you offer for -- the only cutoff that SAMHSA allows 12 12 ran it 20 times and you've determined what that first of all. 13 13 average is. And then you can be plus or minus 14 Most clients if they're in the 14 20 percent for your QC. So if your QC can be non-government sector, they want to go lower. 15 15 plus or minus 20 percent, the specimen probably Q. But are you aware of clients that have 16 16 would follow that same -- accuracy range, if higher threshold levels? 17 17 that's what you're asking. A. Actually, I'm not. 18 18 Q. Okay. So it would be essentially a 19 O. Okav. 19 20 20-percent margin of error, correct? 20 MR. HERBERT: Nothing further. A. Accuracy, yes. **HEARING OFFICER WALKER: Redirect?** 21 21 22 Q. 20-percent accuracy to the test MR. POLK: Very briefly. 22 results? REDIRECT EXAMINATION 23 23 24 A. Yes. BY MR. POLK: Page 34 Page 36 Q. And that's 20-percent accuracy plus or O. You were asked if it was not uncommon 1 minus the results? to have false positives. Do you remember that?

A. Plus or minus. That's what we do with our QC, so... Q. Okay. And you talked about the different -- the initial test and then the confirmation test?

A. Yes.

O. And the confirmation test, is it the 9 same sample used for the confirmation test

that's used for the initial test?

A. It's the same parent bottle that is 12 used. We never test out of the original

bottle. We always take what's called -- we

call aliquot, but you're taking off a portion 15

of that sample for the initial test. And then 16

that aliquot is discarded and then you take 17

another portion of the original bottle for the 18

confirmation test. That way it's two 19

independent samplings from the parent bottle, 20

and that parent bottle doesn't get contaminated 21

that way. 22

Q. Okay. And you talked about how 23

there's different threshold levels or cutoff

3 A. Uh-huh.

O. Do you check for false positives in

5 your lab?

A. It's kind of -- that's kind of a hard 7

question, hard thing to look for, because

you've already reported that result.

9 Now, the inspectors when they come in, they do look through your data.

10 11 Part of the inspection process is to audit all your -- to audit your records. We 12

13 have to send them all of our positives that we have reported throughout the quarter and then 14

they pick records to audit. And they look to 15

verify our accuracy, to make sure that we're 16 17

not reporting false positives. 18

So we have an outside agency that comes in and does that for us quarterly.

Q. So part of your inspection process is 20

you are tested against false positives, 22

correct?

19

A. They're looking at your data to make 23 sure what you reported was forensically

Page 37 Page 39 supported. break was had.) 1 1 HEARING OFFICER WALKER: Back on the 2 2 Q. And --A. So part of that process would be to 3 record 3 Before we have the witness sworn make sure that you did report the -- you were 4 4 accurate with what you reported, so that your 5 in. I don't know that we had the Department quantification supported the positive that you rest. Of course subject to rebuttal in your 6 7 case in chief. reported. Q. And you get inspected four times a MR. POLK: Yes, we rest subject to 8 year you said? rebuttal. 9 9 A. By SAMHSA, yes. And we have other 10 **HEARING OFFICER WALKER:** Respondent's 10 inspection agencies as well that do independent case. Respondent's first witness. Thank you. 11 11 audits and clients that come in and do 12 (Witness was duly 12 independent audits as well. sworn.) 13 13 Q. And does your lab pass those JAMES O'DONNELL, 14 14 inspections? called as a witness herein, after having been 15 15 A. Yes, yes. first duly sworn, was examined and testified as 16 16 MR. POLK: Nothing further. follows: 17 17 MR. HERBERT: Just briefly. DIRECT EXAMINATION 18 18 RECROSS-EXAMINATION BY MR. HERBERT: 19 19 BY MR. HERBERT: Q. Good afternoon, Doctor. How are you? 20 20 Q. You said that inspectors will conduct A. Good. Thank you. 21 21 an audit of samples, correct? Q. Would you please introduce yourself 22 22 A. Uh-huh. Of records. and spell your last name for the record? 23 23 Q. Do you know if the sample that was A. James Thomas O'Donnell. O, 24 24 Page 38 Page 40 used in this case was chosen for an audit? apostrophe, D-O-N-N-E-L-L. 1 1 A. No. I don't. O. And, Dr. O'Donnell, by whom are you 2 2 Q. Do you have any knowledge that employed? 3 3 this -- that this result was reviewed by any A. I'm employed by the Rush Medical 4 4 inspectors whatsoever? College and also by my private firm Pharma 5 A. No. I don't. Consultant, Incorporated. 6 6 MR. HERBERT: Nothing further. Q. And what other work do you do 7 7 MR. POLK: Nothing based on that. professionally? 8 HEARING OFFICER WALKER: Thank you. You A. All my work is encompassed between my 9 may be excused. Don't forget your microphone. teaching and consulting practice. 10 10 (Witness Excused.) Q. Okay. And are you licensed as a 11 11 **HEARING OFFICER WALKER:** Okay. The pharmacist? 12 Department have any other witnesses? 13 A. I am. I've been licensed since 1969. 13 MR. POLK: We have no further witnesses Q. Please explain what pharmacology is. 14 A. Pharmacology is study of the actions for our case in chief. 15 15 of drugs on the body, both in man and animals. **HEARING OFFICER WALKER:** Okay. 16 16 Respondent? It encompasses discovery, identification, 17 17 MR. HERBERT: I have witnesses. And I analysis, evaluation, testing, and also it 18 18 told them to come here a little bit later. encompasses teaching the topic to those who 19 19 HEARING OFFICER WALKER: Off the record a 20 need to learn pharmacology in order to practice 20 moment. their professions, including physicians, 21 21 (Discussion off the nurses, pharmacists, podiatrists, dentists. 22 22 record.) 23 23 Q. And, Doctor, prior to the hearing, you (WHEREUPON, a luncheon tendered a CV in this case? 24 24

Duane A. Bennett Page 41 Page 43 A. Yes, sir. 1 interpreted urine drug tests and other media 1 2 Q. Okay. And it's already been for drug tests over the past 30, 35 years. stipulated to with the parties you are an Q. And you published on that topic as 3 3 expert in the area of pharmacology. well as you've described? 4 4 I notice that one of the entries A. I have. 5 5 in your CV talks about some of the consultant Q. And you've testified in legal matters 6 work you did and that being that you worked for aside from the testimony that you provided for 7 the Chicago Police Department and the City of the Police Board that you talked about? 8 A. On drug testing? 9 Chicago at one point? 9 A. Yes. The work for the police 10 O. Yes. 10 11 department was a singular engagement, pro bono 11 A. Yes, frequently. engagement, as a matter of fact, teaching Q. If you can describe the 12 12 narcotics officers the pharmacology of the some pharmacokinetics of cannabis? 13 13 of the drugs they were arresting people for A. Well, cannabis is a substance that has 14 14 selling. multiple metabolites. Some are described 15 15 upwards of 20. The principle active ingredient 16 I have a fairly ongoing 16 consulting relationship with law department in 17 or ingredient that people smoke it or consume 17 civil matters, and I've testified before the 18 18 it for is what we refer to as THC, Police Board for the City at least once. tetrahydrocannabinol. And it can be absorbed 19 19 20 Q. If I can ask you what is -- is 20 orally, topically, smoking. Technically you toxicology a part of pharmacology? could even inject it. The half life or the 21 21 A. Yes, it is. Pharmacology, the actions period of time of greatest effect of THC is 22 22 of drugs, we prescribe and use them for limited to several hours. 23 23 As I described it, especially beneficial effect, but they clearly have toxic 24

Page 42

Page 44

effects. And indeed as part of my teaching 1 2 responsibilities, I teach toxicology principles. 3 Q. And what is your background regarding 4 5 pharmacology as it relates to toxicology?

6 A. Well, part of the board certification 7 is an examination on toxicological principles and testing. I've published five books very 9 heavily steeped in pharmacology -- excuse me, pharmacology and toxicology, primarily the 10 adverse effects of drugs. For instance, three 11 12

of them are titled Drug Injury. The assay work that's used in developmental pharmacology is the same assay that is part of the safety pharmacology or testing. And I've spent both in college learning the instrumentation, the science behind the analytical chemistry, and also research sabbatical what I thought was mid career 30 years ago. Q. Have you had any training or

21 22 experience interpreting urine drug samples? 23 A. Yes. It's -- the training is part of the analytical chemistry experience. I've

through smoking, develop several metabolites

2 that have longer half life, which is

technically limited to the amount of time it's 3

in the blood. But a very long what I call body

residence time. That's not a technical term.

But it helps explain the fact that the -- when

the marijuana goes into the fat, it's stored in

the fat because it's highly what called

lipophilic. And the reason why, for instance, 9

you can detect a positive marijuana metabolite 10

seven days, 14 days, even 30 days after

exposure is because they -- the metabolite that 12

is trapped in the fat eventually leaches out of 13 14

the fat and is filtered in the kidney and 15 deposited in the bladder. The bladder being a

collection device, concentrates or makes the

17 relative amount of the marijuana metabolite in

a volume of urine much, much greater than could

19 be detected in blood. Therefore it's not

detected in blood, but it is in urine. So it 20

21 is a -- it has -- the kinetics is -- I should

22 define that as really a timeline, how long the 23 drug stays in the body. It's a very unusual

kinetics. The kinetics will vary from one 24

000076

13

14

15 16

17 18

19

1

2

3

4

5

6

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

19

20

21

22

23

24

Page 45

metabolite to another.

But the important take-home message is the active ingredient is short-lived. It's gone from the body within a day usually. But metabolites have very long body residence time.

O. Okay. And are there factors regarding 7 elimination? 8

A. Absolutely. Absolutely. It 9 could -- it's a factor of dose. It's a factor 10 of frequency of use. Or chronic use. It's a 11 factor of the amount of fat content in the 12 body. Factor of hydration. Factor of diet. 13 How much protein is in the diet and therefore 14 nitrogen in the urine. 15

Indeed, the -- repeating the same dose of exposure of marijuana to the same individual over consecutive days will result in different -- consecutive periods allowing for wash-out, will result in different levels detected, because the detection level of metabolite in the urine changes by the hour of the day and the degree of hydration of the subject on that day.

has the potential for absorption from the skin.

2 And we have -- we generally have 3 30 or so therapeutic agents that we actually 4 administer to patients where we infuse a patch with a drug and put the patch on the patient either daily or weekly for a chronic exposure 6 of the substance. 7

So lungs, therapeutic or adulterant, environmental, gaseous, particulate and to a lesser extent skin.

Q. So lungs can certainly absorb

secondhand smoke? 12

8

9

10

11

A. Absolutely. That's one of the driving 13 forces for eliminating smoking in public and 14 15 private places, because even a person who

16 elects -- the person who wants to avoid smoking

and voluntarily does not suffers from the 17

damage of the secondhand smoke just by the fact 18

19 that it's in the air and they inhale it.

Q. Doctor, approximately how many times 20

have you interpreted urine drug screens? 21

A. Well, at least several hundred, if not 22

approaching a thousand. 30 years. 23

O. And describe, you touched on it 24

Page 46

So a high degree of variance in the amount of marijuana metabolite that is excreted in the urine, collected in the urine. and therefore available for testing.

Q. Okay. And I know you talked briefly about the lungs being a good absorption surface.

My question to you is, can adulterants be absorbed in the lungs?

A. Anything we breathe can be absorbed through the lungs. We breathe exhaust fumes. We administer -- that's how we get oxygen, we breathe.

The lungs are probably more 14 efficient for absorption than the gut is for 15 absorption of nutrients. So anything that's 16 either particulate matter in the air or even 17 volatilized or gas matter, if it enters the 18

lungs it has potential for absorption.

The same can be said about the skin, although certainly not at the same efficiency, but anything that's deposited on the skin, unless it's something like a Lithium ion, a very highly polar molecule, certainly

earlier, but any written or published articles

in the field of toxicology? 2

A. I have several chapters in three of my 3

books. One is specifically forensic drug

testing that describes urine drug testing and 5 the methodologies used. A few chapters in my

6 books are entitled Forensic Pharmacist and the 7

Roles of Interpretation. And then I have in 8

9 the same books a different chapter on -- titled Recreational Drugs, and the drugs that are 10

addressed to a great extent are alcohol, 11

marijuana and cocaine, and within those 12

13 chapters I think there should be drug testing discussed in those chapters as well. 14

And then I've published for a nursing newspaper interpretation of toxicology tests, including urine drug tests.

Q. Okay. And have you written or 18

published any articles concerning marijuana? 19

A. What I've described. I don't know

21 that I have any freestanding articles. But my

22 chapters definitely discuss marijuana to a

23 great extent.

24 Q. Okay. Have you ever lectured or

15

16

17

20

Page 48

Page 49

6

11

- taught others about the interpretation of urine
- 2 drug screens?
- A. Yes. Several times. 3
- Q. Have you ever been qualified as an 4
- expert to provide testimony? 5
- A. I've testified in court over 350 6
- 7 times. On the question of urine drug screens,
- there have been a few. Most of the time when 8
- 9 I'm engaged in urine drug screen matter, the
- issue of the urine drug test is not allowed 10
- into court because of the lack of scientific 11
- 12 validity of the test.
- O. Okay. 13
- A. So I don't go to court on it, because 14
- my work is before court to help the lawyers 15
- argue that it shouldn't be discussed. 16
- 17 Q. Okay. And do you testify strictly for
- defendants or respondents? 18
- 19 A. No, no, testify on both sides. I
- 20 think in my career my third Police Board
- 21 hearing. I had one of which was for the
- sheriff's office or involving a deputy sheriff, 22
- 23 in a sheriff board. Majority of my
- consultations and testimony is in civil

- recreational drug chapter is in here, and
- forensic pharmacist chapter is in here, and
- then there's two chapters on drug testing, one 3
- is forensic drug testing and the other is 4
- workplace drug testing. 5
 - So there's an extensive
- 7 description of urine drug tests and the
- analytical methods used for such testing.
- 9 HEARING OFFICER WALKER: Excuse me.
- 10 Mr. Herbert, what's the name of the --
 - MR. HERBERT: The name of it is Drug
- Injury, Liability, Analysis and Prevention, 12
- third edition. 13
- BY MR. HERBERT: 14
- Q. Doctor, if I can refer you to the case 15
- at issue here. 16
- 17 What did you review prior to
- giving any opinions in the case of my client 18
- Duane Bennett? 19
- A. I reviewed the Chicago Police 20
- Department internal affairs transcript report 21
- test results showing a positive screening and 22
- 23 confirmation for marijuana metabolite. I
- reviewed Dr. Conver's report, OMS Group, 24

Page 50

2

Page 52

- matters. And it's certainly equally divided in 1
- there. And fairly criminal matters, I work for 2
- prosecutors but mostly for defendants. 3
- Q. And what subjects have you testified 4
- 5 to?

- A. Oh, half my work is involving 6
- recreational drugs, primarily alcohol, but 7
- marijuana is frequent, followed by cocaine and 8
- methamphetamine. 9
 - And then a whole arena of
- prescription drugs, even over-the-counter 11 drugs, describing the injury, the mechanism of 12
- injury, or did the drug cause the alleged 13
- injury. 14
- And then finally, since I am a 15 pharmacist, I do testify on the standard of
- 16 care of pharmacists.
- 17
- Q. And you testified about some of your 18
- publications. If I can just identify this book 19
- as Respondent Exhibit No. 1. And is this one 20
- of the publications that you testified?
- A. Yes. This the third edition of Drug 22
- Injury, Liability, Analysis and Prevention. 23
- And the two chapters I described, the

- Occupational Medical Specialists.
 - I reviewed the hair test result.
- And also a urine test result from a -- I'm
- blocking the name of the clinic. A clinic on 4
- 5 the far southwest side.
- O. And those are the two tests -- when 6
- 7 you talk about that subsequent urine test and
- that hair test, those were the tests that
- 9 were -- that were done at the request of my
- 10 client, correct?
- A. Yes, ves. And I reviewed some -- a 11
- 12 few articles from my personal library. And not
- 13 reviewed, but I interviewed Sergeant Bennett
- 14 also after --
- Q. Why did you examine Sergeant Bennett? 15
- 16 A. Well, it's important to get his side
- 17 of the story as part of forensic analysis to
- determine the timing, the tempo relationship of 18
- 19 his claim of being exposed to environmental
- marijuana, his affirmation of denial of 20
- 21 marijuana use, and just, you know, add more
- context to the information that was provided by 22
- the police report. 23
- Q. Okay. And if we were to assume that 24

Page 53

- what Sergeant Bennett stated how he was exposed
- to marijuana, is it possible that the positive 2
- test result for the sample submitted to the 3
- police department was the result of 4
- environmental exposure as opposed to active or 5
- intentional ingestion?

7

- A. In my opinion, yes, it is possible
- based on the accumulation of those 8
- environmental exposures.
- Q. And the environmental exposures that 10
- he talked to you about and that were identified 11
- in the internal affairs reports talks about how 12
- his son was smoking marijuana in a close 13
- proximity to him, to Sergeant Bennett? 14
- A. It was in his son's room. He knew he 15
- had smoking -- he was smoking marijuana. He 16
- described being in a concert where marijuana 17
- was being smoked. He described being called to 18
- a scene, a shop where he knew that marijuana 19
- was -- he could smell marijuana there. 20
- O. And that was a call -- that was a call 21
- on the police department, correct? 22
- A. Yes, yes. And then described both in 23
- the IAD record as well as to me that his desk

- 1 A. Well, just to summarize, it's -- you
 - 2 would expect there to be marijuana ingestion.
 - 3 inhalation, and potential for detectability.
 - It depends on the amount of the dose and the 4 concentration of the urine. 5

Number two, the passive ingestion 6

7 of marijuana through secondhand smoke has been

reported, and I think that it's more likely 8

- that this positive test, this positive finding
- that resulted in us being here today, this 10
- case, was as a result of environmental 11
- exposures. It's not a single exposure. It's 12
- multiple, within a short period of time. And 73
- although -- and I recognize Dr. Conver's report 14
- that the -- it's not possible for this to 15
- happen at this amount of exposure that's 16
- described. It is possible. It has been 17
- reported. Levels at the level detected 18
- by -- in Sergeant Bennett's urine have been 19
- described. Because of the variance, as I 20
- described earlier, what I would describe as a 21
- physiological variance, and the limited number 22
- 23 of subjects that are the bases for the
- 24 conclusions that you have to have a certain

Page 54

6

12

13

14

15

16

Page 56

- was close to a narcotic vault or narcotic 1
- locker where one can smell the presence of 2
- marijuana. 3
- So as I said, cumulatively, we 4
- have four exposures. Clearly, marijuana is in 5
- the air. Clearly, that marijuana gets in one's 6
- body. If you can smell it, you're inhaling it. 7
- So it's certainly possible. 8
- Q. Okay. And can urine drug tests show 9
- positive results after passive or environmental 10
- 11 exposure?
- A. Oh, definitely. I mean -- the 12
- articles I referenced in my report describe 13
- 14 the -- some of the research that's done on
- that. And, again, this is follow-up to a much 15
- larger body of science document and the risk of 16
- 17 secondhand smoke from tobacco and cigarettes.
- O. And your opinions, are they based upon 18
- a reasonable degree of pharmacological 19
- certainty, as well as your background, training 20
- and experience? 21
- A. Yes, sir, they are. 22
- 23 O. And, finally, what are your opinions
- regarding this drug test? 24

- number of cigarettes in a closed room, in my
- opinion, as I said, with the accumulation of 2
- these four exposure vectors, if you will, it's 3
- 4 more likely than that is the cause than a -- an
- intentional smoking of a cigarette. 5

And also the fact that a

subsequent test was negative is telling that

the amount that was present, if, indeed, 8

the -- I'm not disputing that marijuana was 9

detected as reported in the IAD report. But 10

11 the levels were very low.

> So a few days later there was not enough there for there to be a positive test in

that screening test.

And as I said earlier, if

you -- you can have -- you wouldn't expect a

17 positive hair test from a single exposure to

18 marijuana smoking. It's certainly not from

19 passive exposure unless you live in the

environment. But that negative hair test is 20

also -- to me is evidence that there's no 21

chronic marijuana use here. I know that's not 22

23 important for your purposes here.

But just so you hear a single

Page 57 Page 59 sentence, as science supports, you can detect not tell me that. 1 it. The level that was reported was very low. Q. Do you know the volume of the room 2 2 It certainly is possible. And the fact we have itself and the air? 3 multiple exposure venues, and subsequent 4 A. No. I don't. 4 negative tests supports my opinion that it's Q. Do you know the ventilation in the 5 most likely or more likely than not room? 6 environmental exposure and not intentional 7 A. I don't. Q. Do you know the concert venue that he smoking. 8 THE VIDEOGRAPHER: We're now off the went to? 9 9 record. 10 A. Other than it being Milwaukee, no. 10 (Brief pause.) Q. Do you know if there was marijuana 11 11 12 THE VIDEOGRAPHER: Proceed. 12 actively being smoked at the tire shop that he MR. HERBERT: Thank you, Doctor. I have went to? 13 13 nothing further. A. That's what he said. He smelled it. 14 14 15 HEARING OFFICER WALKER: 15 Whether it was being smoked when he was there, Cross-examination. he didn't tell me that. 16 16 **CROSS-EXAMINATION** 17 17 O. And I would like to ask a couple of BY MR. POLK: questions about the narcotics locker. 18 18 Q. Good morning, Doctor. You spoke of In your report you said 19 19 reviewing the IAD interview and interview of essentially if -- please correct me if I'm 20 20 Sergeant Bennett, correct? 21 mischaracterizing your report, that if you can A. Yes. 22 22 smell marijuana, then it is volatilized and could be absorbed, correct? Q. He told you of the four exposures that 23 23 he could account for that he was trying to use 24 A. Yes. Page 58 Page 60 to explain his positive result, correct? Q. Now, was marijuana burning in the 1 1 A. Yes. 2 2 locker? O. And there was one in his son's room, 3 A. No. no. 3 Q. So it was just sitting there in close one in a concert, one in a tire shop, and one 4 at a narcotic locker, correct? proximity to him? 5 A. Yes. A. Yes. You can inhale marijuana from 6 6 Q. Did you -- did Sergeant Bennett tell plants, through volatilization, or even the 7 you specific details about each of these particulates. It doesn't have to be burned. circumstances? And that same -- when people eat marijuana or 9 9 A. Well, I have details of the locker 10 put marijuana in food, mayonnaise, if you can 10 being very close to his desk where he worked. believe it, it's not pyrolized, it's not 11 11 12 He was working for three weeks before this 12 burned. incident. That's about the greatest detail. So it doesn't have to be burned 13 13 I don't have any details of the in order to have the intoxicating effect, which 14 14 is -- or medicinal effect, because there is concert. I think I recall him saying he was 15 there for a couple of hours. I don't know the some medicinal use of marijuana. 16 16 length of time. And he was very unhappy with Q. You also described that you reviewed 17 17 the literature on the subject. his son. 18 18 19 O. Do you know -- first incident was in 19 A. I was very familiar with the his son's room, correct? literature. I pulled two articles from our 20 20 library that I referenced, so I could have 21 A. Yes. 21 Q. Do you know if he was actively in the something to produce that's -- so it's not just 22 22 room when marijuana was being smoked? my opinion. 23 23 A. I did not get that impression. He did Q. Which articles did you reference? 24 24

May	21, 2013		Duane A. Bennett
	Page 61		Page 63
1	A. One is Clinical Pharmacology in	1	there were general questions made in direct
2	Therapeutics. And that is from 1986, Cohn and	2	about the material that the doctor used, but in
3	Johnson.	3	terms of consistency and being able to follow
4	Q. Is that the article called Contact	4	along. So let's mark that Superintendent's
5	Highs and Urinary Cannabinoid Excretion After	5	Exhibit No. 3.
6	Passive Exposure to Marijuana Smoke?	6	MR. POLK: I marked the first article as
7	A. Yes, sir.	7	Superintendent Exhibit 3.
8	Q. Okay. And what else did you review?	8	(WHEREUPON, said
9	A. I'm looking for the title now, sir.	9	document was marked as
10	And the second is by Cohn, Johnson, Darwin	10	Superintendent Exhibit
	and I have to spell it,	11	No. 3 for
11			Identification.)
12	Y-O-U-S-E-F-N-E-J-A-D, in Journal of Analytical	12	BY MR. POLK:
13	Toxicology, 1987, Passive Inhalation of		
14	Marijuana Smoke, Urinalysis and Room Air Levels	14	Q. So, going back to that study, wasn't
15	of Delta 9 Tetrahydrocannabinol.	15	the room 8.21 feet by 6.82 feet by 8.00 feet?
16	Q. What were the conditions, the	16	A. Yes. It's listed on the
17	experimental conditions, in those studies?	17	bottom-right-hand column.
18	A. Closed rooms, limited ventilation.	18	Q. And weren't the two studies weren't
19	The dimensions of the rooms are described, and	19	the two experiments that they performed one
20	also the number of cigarettes that were smoked	20	involved four marijuana cigarettes being smoked
21	in the rooms are measured and reported. And	21	for one hour a day for six consecutive days and
22	some of the results in the studies include room	22	the other was 16 marijuana cigarettes being
23	air levels of marijuana. One of the studies	23	smoked for one hour a day for six consecutive
24	was primarily measuring any subjective effects	24	days in this 8.2 by 6.83 by 8.0 foot room?
-	Page 62		Page 64
1	in the subjects who were volunteers in the	1	A. Yes, sir.
2	study, blood levels of the active ingredient,	2	Q. Wasn't the air quality in that room so
3	and, of course, the urine levels were reported	3	poor that the participants wear goggles?
4	in both articles.	4	A. You mean because there was so much
5	Q. What were the dimensions of the room	5	smoke?
6	in each study? I believe it might be on page	6	Q. Yes.
7	248 of the first article you mentioned. The	7	A. Yes, yes, and also to control for the
8	second page over all of the article.	8	smoke induced conjunctival irritation, the
9	A. Thank you.	9	redness or the bloodshot eyes.
10	HEARING OFFICER WALKER: Excuse me for	10	If you were exposed to smoke, you
11	interrupting you. Did the witness use this	11	couldn't make an assessment as to whether the
12	document in your direct?	12	smoking of marijuana, the physiological or
13	MR. HERBERT: He did not.	13	central effect, internal effect, so that
14	HEARING OFFICER WALKER: Okay.	14	was that was the reason for the goggles.
15	MR. HERBERT: So I would object being	15	But secondary reason for the goggles is because
16	outside the scope.	16	of the eye irritation of the smoke.
17	HEARING OFFICER WALKER: Not only that, I	17	Q. Aren't these conditions much more
18	mean is the document just my concern is that	18	extreme than any of the four scenarios than
19	the document needs to be marked, because	19	Sergeant Bennett described in his exposure to
20	there's testimony coming from that document.	20	secondhand marijuana?
21	Was it revealed in discovery? Or if you're	21	A. Yes, sir, they are.
22	going to use it, then, Mr. Polk, perhaps you	22	Q. In this study there weren't any GCMS
23	need to mark it. I don't know that it would	23	results of the marijuana metabolite
24	necessarily be outside of the scope because	24	concentration in the urine, were there?

of questioning for unrelated tests. 1

Page 67

- A. In the CP and T article, Exhibit 3 1
- that you just handed me? 2
- Q. Yes. 3
- A. Let me see. Well, there are reported 4
- levels and they would have tested them with 5
- GCMS for the active ingredient. I'm sorry, for
- the blood. So you're correct, there are no 7
- urine tests. 8
- Q. Looking at table two on page 252 for
- this article, isn't it true that the two 10
- thresholds they used for their enzyme analysis 11
- to say 20 nanograms per milliliter and then 100 12
- nanograms per milliliter, and they inferred a 13
- 14 level for 75 nanograms per milliliter?
- A. Yes, sir. 15
- Q. Isn't it true that the City of Chicago 16
- drug testing program tests at 15 nanograms per 17
- milliliter for their screening tests? 18
- A. Yes, sir. 19
- 20 Q. So a positive for 20 nanograms per
- 21 milliliter does not necessarily mean that they
- would have had positive for 50 nanograms per 22
- 23 milliliter, correct?
- A. Correct. 24

- HEARING OFFICER WALKER: I'm sorry? 2
- MR. HERBERT: I object to the relevance of 3
- this unrelated test to the case at issue. It 4
- really doesn't bear any relevance on this case. 5
- **HEARING OFFICER WALKER:** What is the 6
- relevance, Mr. Polk? 7
- 8 MR. POLK: He said that he reviewed the
- 9 literature as evidence of the fact that
- 10 secondhand smoke could cause positive urine
- tests for marijuana metabolites and this is 11
- what he based his opinion on. I think it is 12
- highly relevant. 13
- 14 MR. HERBERT: To be fair, this was one of
- the things that he relied upon to come up with 15
- his opinion, not solely this document. 16
 - HEARING OFFICER WALKER: Right. You will
- have an opportunity to do that in redirect. So 18
- objection is overruled. He did say he used --19
- this is one of the things. 20
- Do you want to repeat the 21
- question or --22

17

1

2

- BY MR. POLK: 23
- Q. Isn't that correct? 24

Page 66

Page 68

- 1 Q. And looking at the left half of table
- two on page 252, it looks -- isn't it correct 2
- that in the room with four marijuana cigarettes 3
- in their 8.2 by 6.8 by 8 foot room, none of the 4
- subjects had even 75 nanograms per milliliter 5
- in the emit test? 6
- A. None of them exceeded that threshold, 7
- yes. 8
- Q. Isn't that -- the emit test, isn't 9
- 10 that the same as enzyme immunoassay?
- A. Yes. 11
- O. And doesn't that test for all 12
- marijuana metabolites? 13
- A. Yes. 14
- O. So this is different from the GCMS 15
- test, correct? 16
- A. Yes, it is. 17
- Q. It tests for -- GCMS tests for a 18
- subset of what the emit tests for, correct? 19
- A. Yes. 20
- Q. So they had less than 75 nanograms per 21
- milliliter for all marijuana metabolites in the 22
- room with four marijuana cigarettes, correct? 23
- MR. HERBERT: I would object to the line 24

- A. Give me the question again.
- Q. So looking at the left hand of table
- two, when there are four marijuana cigarettes 3
- in the 8.2 by 6.8 by 8 foot room with no 4
- 5 ventilation, isn't it true that none of the
- test subjects had 75 nanograms per milliliter 6
- of total marijuana metabolites in their urine? 7
- A. It's true; however, as a scientist 8
- looking at this, we have five subjects. This 9
- 10 is not created science. The only thing you can
- say about this is there were no positives or 11
- none exceeded the 20 nanogram threshold. It's 12
- too small a sample to draw any conclusions from 13
- 14 it.
- Q. Isn't this one of the bases for your 15
- 16 opinion?
- A. This is an article I referenced. The 17
- article references a wide variance of levels 18
- from the exposures that limited number of 19
- subjects participated in. Because I -- because 20
- I referred to this article doesn't mean that 21
- the left-hand table of -- for measuring with a 22
- 20 nanogram threshold says that because of the 23
- findings are negative, doesn't mean this isn't

Page 71 Page 69 Q. So you referenced it under observation going to happen. This is five samples. Marijuana is used by millions of people. and opinion number one, on the second page of Marijuana is inhaled from the environment. It your opinion you said, this has been reported will circulate. It will get into the urine, in the literature for the last several decades. 4 and in some tests it will be positive. reprints of 1986 article on the topic Journal 5 Q. Isn't this the only article that you 6 of Clinical Pharmacology is appended to this report, correct? specifically referenced in your opinion? 7 7 A. It's the only article I brought with 8 A. Yes. 8 me. I didn't feel the need to bring other Q. You didn't reference any other reports 9 articles. or articles, did you? 10 10 A. I did not. O. So isn't it fair this --11 11 A. Sorry. I brought two articles with 12 O. In the other -- the second study that 12 you said you brought with you, it was also by 13 me. 13 14 Q. Did you -- didn't you only reference 14 Cohn? this article in your written opinion that you A. Yes, sir. 15 15 wrote on March 11th of 2013? Q. Are there GCMS -- I don't have a copy 16 16 A. Yes. of that one, because it wasn't referenced, and 17 17 HEARING OFFICER WALKER: So hold on a I couldn't find it online. Are there GCMS 18 18 19 moment. We need to -- do you have an actual 19 results in that study? copy of that report? And has it been marked? A. Yes. 20 20 MR. POLK: It was on Respondent's list of O. What are the GCMS results in that 21 21 exhibits, so I didn't bring spare copies. I 22 study? just have my own copy. 23 HEARING OFFICER WALKER: Do you have a 23 HEARING OFFICER WALKER: Okay. But I 24 copy? Page 72 Page 70 don't believe you used this. I happen to have MR. POLK: I don't have a copy of this. 1 1 a copy in the file. But I did not -- I don't 2 It wasn't in the report. And I couldn't find 2 believe it was used by Mr. Herbert in his -any other that were available online. 3 3 just the book I have a reference to here. HEARING OFFICER WALKER: Where was it 4 MR. HERBERT: Right. I didn't cite to it. 5 referenced? Where did you get this? 5 HEARING OFFICER WALKER: He hasn't used MR. POLK: He said he brought that with 6 6 it. Let's mark it Superintendent's No. 4. him and that was the other article. 7 7 HEARING OFFICER WALKER: The witness just (WHEREUPON, said 8 8 document was marked as 9 said he brought it with him? 9 Superintendent Exhibit 10 MR. POLK: Yes. 10 No. 4 for 11 11 **HEARING OFFICER WALKER:** And you're Identification.) questioning --12 12 THE WITNESS: Excuse me. I may have MR. POLK: I'm questioning were there GCMS 13 13 misspoken. I don't see it referenced in my results in that second article. 14 14 HEARING OFFICER WALKER: Okay. Is that 15 15 report. BY MR. POLK: 16 article mentioned in his report? 16 Q. Is this the same copy you have? MR. POLK: He said in his report that 17 17 A. Yes. he --18 18 MR. HERBERT: Where is it referenced I THE WITNESS: It's not mentioned in my 19 19 guess is the question? 20 20 THE WITNESS: 1986 article on top, Journal MR. POLK: Then I'll withdraw the 21 21 of Clinical Pharmacology, number one. Yes, it 22 22 question. 23 BY MR. POLK: 23 BY MR. POLK: Q. So then nothing that you mention in 24 24

Page 73 Page 75 number, 4.6, 23, these are all the results of your report has any GCMS results for specifically THC acid in urine test from GCMS tests. secondhand smoke? O. Isn't it true that table two only 3 MR. HERBERT: Objection. Form of the tells of the number of positive tests and not 4 4 any measurement of nanograms per milliliter of 5 question. 5 BY MR. POLK: marijuana metabolite in the urine? 6 6 7 O. Isn't that correct? 7 A. You are wrong, sir. **HEARING OFFICER WALKER: Okay.** Q. So you're saying, for instance, 8 8 MR. HERBERT: Vague. subject A, 20 nanograms per milliliter it says HEARING OFFICER WALKER: Sustained. Why 10 three, and that means that there's three 10 don't you rephrase that, please. nanograms per milliliter in subject A's urine? 11 11 BY MR. POLK: 12 A. Yes. 12 O. Isn't it true that you also referenced O. So that's not 20 nanograms per 13 13 the report that we just talked about, the first milliliter, is it, that's three -- three is 14 14 one, correct? less than 20, correct? 15 15 A. Yes. Doesn't it say, table one, urine 16 16 Q. And isn't it true that we just went 17 17 samples tested positive for cannabinoid over the results that were from an emit test metabolites by emit assay after passive 18 and there are no GCMS test results from urine exposure to marijuana smoke? 19 tests in that article, correct? 20 A. I was wrong. I was wrong. I A. No, there are GCMS -- references GCMS apologize for saying you were wrong. The 21 21 subject A had -- was tested three times and had 22 at page 252 in the bottom of the right-hand 22 three test results that exceeded that. The 23 column. 23 total number of results was 23. But the -- all 24 Any time there is an exact 24 Page 74 Page 76 number, it has to be GCMS. Whether or not of the emit tests that were positive were something exceeded a threshold or not is based tested with using GCMS, according to the 2 on emit alone. If there is a specific number, sentence that I read at the bottom of page 252 3 that sample would always be taken to GCMS for in the right-hand column. 4 4 quantification and identification. Q. So again my question is, isn't it true 5 Q. Could you please point to me in this 6 that there's no GCMS data in this article? 6 article where there are GCMS results from a 7 A. That's true. 7 urine test, any specific numbers? Q. So you don't know based on this A. Well, the urine -- at page 252, the article the only one you reference in your 9 10 bottom of the right-hand column, it says urine 10 report the specific level of THCA metabolite in samples were tested for cannabinoids by emit the urine of these test subjects, correct? 11 11 20, table two, had an overall confirmation of A. No, it's not reported. 12 12 the metabolite Delta 9 THC carboxylic acid by 13 O. So isn't it true that the two tests 13 14 GCMS of 84.9 percent. That means that all that the City of Chicago Police Department these -- anything that showed a positive was performed, neither of those two tests at the 15 concentration levels that they performed them taken to GCMS and reported, and there was an 85 percent correlation between exceeding the at were performed in this study? 17 17 threshold and having a positive. 18 18 A. Well, as I understand, the first test Emit tests do not provide a was an emit test, and it was reported as 19 number. They just show positive or negative. positive which means that there was a detection 20 20 21 Q. And my question is, where is the data of greater than 50 nanograms. And the second 21

for the GCMS?

A. It's presented in table two. Any time

you see number, a whole number, or -- yeah, a

22

23

22

23

test -- so that would be the same as what's

done in this study.

Q. Is it true --

Page 79 Page 77 A. At a different level. per milliliter of THCA in his urine? 1 A. Not this article. 2 Q. Exactly. Isn't it true they did a 2 MR. POLK: Nothing further. 3 20 -- emit 20 assay and emit 100 assay in this 3 study? 4 MR. HERBERT: Nothing else. 4 A. Yes. HEARING OFFICER WALKER: Thank you. 5 5 Doctor. You may be excused. Don't forget your Q. And they did not do an emit 50 assay, 6 which is what the City of Chicago uses and what microphone. 7 7 the federal guidelines are? THE WITNESS: Thank you. 8 A. Yes. 9 (Witness excused.) 9 MR. POLK: No further questions. (Witness was duly 10 10 HEARING OFFICER WALKER: Redirect. 11 11 sworn.) REDIRECT EXAMINATION THOMAS McMAHON, 12 12 BY MR. HERBERT: called as a witness herein, after having been 13 13 Q. Just briefly. 14 first duly sworn, was examined and testified as 14 Doctor, you cited this article. follows: 15 15 DIRECT EXAMINATION My question to you is, do you believe that this 16 16 article supports your opinion that the results BY MR. HERBERT: 17 17 for Sergeant Bennett's drug test were Q. Good afternoon, sir, how are you? 18 18 environmental versus intentional inhalation? A. Good. How are you, sir? 19 19 A. Yes, I do. O. Good. Please introduce yourself and 20 20 Q. Why? spell your last name. 21 21 A. Well, it's -- documentation from the A. Thomas McMahon. M-C-M-A-H-O-N. I'm a 22 22 23 literature that -- it's well established that retired captain, Chicago Police Department, 37 23 secondhand smoke from marijuana is absorbed. years of service. 24 Page 80 Page 78 This goes further to document exactly how much Q. Okay. And, Captain, when did you 1 and what percentage of positives, the precise retire from the police department? 2 2 amount in test subjects, a very limited number 3 A. 2010. 3 of test subjects, but the basic premise of my Q. What have you been doing since your 4 4 opinions and my reliance and reference to this retirement, work wise? 5 5 article was that I didn't inhale. I was in the A. Work wise, I am on staff with Calumet 6 6 7 room. It can cause positive test, indeed 7 College of St. Joe's in Whiting, Indiana. We the -- what was really another focus of this is have a -- for college credit we -- it's a 8 8 can someone get high from being in a room with college and I'm teaching Chicago police 9 9 smoke. They essentially said there's not much officers who are getting their degrees, 10 10 evidence to support that. Subjectively there undergraduate degree, in criminal justice. I'm 11 11 may be a placebo effect. also on the staff at Chicago State University 12 12 Q. Is there anything in this article that 13 with their criminal justice department. 13 would contradict your opinion that the result Q. Okay. And as a police officer with 14 14 was more likely environmental for Sergeant the City of Chicago, fair to say that you were 15 15 Bennett than intentional? 16 promoted to the rank from patrolman to sergeant 16 A. No, sir, I don't think so. to lieutenant to captain? 17 17 MR. HERBERT: Nothing else. Further 18 A. Yes, sir. 18 Q. Okay. And did you work in various 19 cross. 19 **RECROSS-EXAMINATION** 20 districts throughout the City? 20 BY MR. POLK: A. Yes. My career expanded not only 21 21 Q. Is there anything in this article that district law enforcement but gang crimes 22 22 supports that the exposures that Sergeant 23 detective division. As a lieutenant I was on 23 Bennett described could result in 33 nanograms Superintendent Hillard's staff. As lieutenant 24

Page 84

Page 81

- in charge of crime in the Austin unit. When I
- 2 was promoted to captain, I was assigned to the
- fifth police district, Calumet district, the 3
- south side, southeast side of Chicago. 4
- Q. What's your educational background? 5
- A. I have an undergraduate in master's 6
- degree in criminal justice from the Chicago
- State University.
- Q. And, Captain, you know my client, 9
- correct? 10
- A. Yes, sir, I do. 11
- Q. And how is it that you know Duane 12
- 13 Bennett?
- A. I know Duane Bennett when Duane came 14
- 15 to the fifth district as a supervisor. He came
- there as a sergeant. He elected to come there. 16
- 17 He used the transfer bid process to come there.
- And I was on midnights. And that's when I 18
- first met him. And --19
- Q. Do you remember approximately what 20
- year that was? 21
- A. I would estimate somewhere around 22
- 23 2008.
- Q. And have you kept up communication 24

- reputation he had on the police department?
- A. Amongst the coworkers, those police
- officers that worked for him, he had an 3
- outstanding reputation. Kind of a guidance 4
- counselor. He was one of those individuals who
- would sit down and talk to officers and guide
- them. Great motivator. He was able to
- motivate these younger officers. And actually
- get them to excel.
- 10 Q. And as far as getting to know him
- better as a person, have you been able to 11
- determine what type of character he has as a 12
- man? 13
- A. After I retired in 2010, I kept up my 14
- acquaintance, and now I would consider a strong 15
- friendship with Duane Bennett over these years.
- I find him to be an exceptional person. 17
- MR. HERBERT: I have nothing further. 18
- Thanks. 19
- 20 THE WITNESS: Thank you.
- HEARING OFFICER WALKER: 21
- 22 Cross-examination.
- MR. POLK: No cross-examination. 23
- 24 HEARING OFFICER WALKER: Thank you, sir.

Page 82

- with Duane during that time period?
- A. Yes. Subsequent to that I was moved 2
- from the midnight watch to the day watch. And 3
- Duane Bennett, Sergeant Bennett, actually came 4
- on the day watch. So he was part of my 5
- supervisory staff. 6
- Q. Okay. And did you have the 7
- opportunity to observe Duane Bennett work as a 8
- sergeant? 9
- 10 A. On a regular basis.
- Q. How would you characterize his work 11
- when he worked for you? 12
- A. Excellent worker. Good decisionmaker. 13
- Excellent at critical thinking. Had a great 14
- understanding of the general orders and job 15
- 16 knowledge. And he had experience. His
- experience from being a tactical officer in the 17
- 21st District helped guide a lot of the younger 18
- police officers we had. So he was an excellent 19
- field supervisor. Exceptionally ethical and 20
- moralistic. 21
- 22 Q. How about did you have the opportunity
- 23 to speak to any of his coworkers or people that
- worked for him to determine what type of 24

- You may be excused.
- 2 **THE WITNESS:** Thank you, ma'am.
 - (Witness excused.)
- (Witness was duly 4
- sworn.) 5
- RICHARD BEDNAREK, 6
- called as a witness herein, after having been
- 8 first duly sworn, was examined and testified as
- 9 follows:

3

10

DIRECT EXAMINATION

- BY MR. HERBERT: 11
- 12 Q. Good afternoon, sir. How are you?
- A. I'm fine. 13
- Q. Good. Please introduce yourself and 14
- spell your last name for the court reporter. 15
- 16 A. My name is Richard Bednarek. B, as in
- 17 boy, E-D-N-A-R-E-K.
- Q. And, sir, by whom are you employed? 18
- A. I'm employed by the City of Chicago. 19
- Chicago Police Department. 20
- 21 Q. How long have you been a police
- 22 officer?
- 23 A. Since 1986.
- 24 Q. And what rank do you currently hold?

Page 85

- A. I currently hold the rank of sergeant of police. 2
- 3 Q. And approximately what year did you
- become promoted to sergeant? 4
 - A. I was promoted to sergeant in 1997.
- Q. And you know my client Duane Bennett? 6
- A. Yes, I do. 7

5

- Q. And how is it that you know him? 8
- A. I first met Duane when he came to the 9
- 21st District for his training as a police 10
- officer. I was an FTO at the time. I was not 11
- his training officer. 12
- But, you know, I trained one of 13
- the recruits, Jim Mureski, that came on the job 14
- with him. I don't know if they were exact 15
- classmates or not, but he was my recruit. 16
- That's how I met Duane for the first time. 17
- Q. That would have been Duane's first job 18
- out of the academy? 19
- A. Correct. 20
- Q. Approximately 22 years ago? 21
- A. Yes. 22

1

- Q. And did you work with Duane during 23
- that time period? 24

- due to crossing. I would be either 20, or he
- would be 30, ten, obviously switching around
- all the time, but we would cross paths and work
- together, and then everything from simple 4
- disturbances to homicides, to robberies and all 5
- sorts of different assignments that were
- 7 prevalent and still are in the sixth district
- 8 today.
- 9 Q. And did you keep up a friendship with
- 10 Duane Bennett since that time you worked with
- him in the sixth district? 11
- A. Yes. We would 12
- occasionally -- obviously talk via cell phone 13
- mostly, but occasionally we'd get together for 14
- lunch or something. Nothing major. I was 15
- never at his birth of any of his children. But 16
- we did keep in touch. 17
- 18 Q. Okay. And how would you characterize
- Duane Bennett's work as a police officer and a 19
- sergeant? 20
- A. Duane Bennett was a very model police 21
- officer and sergeant. He was very 22
- knowledgeable, very intelligent, and very good 23
- family man. Everything -- everything that I 24

Page 86

Page 88

- A. Not that I worked directly with him.
- We were never assigned as partners, but 2
- 3 obviously being in the same district, the 21st
- district. There were different jobs and 4
- different assists that we would cross paths on 5
- numerous times. 6
- Q. And did you and Duane stop working in 7
- the same district at some point? 8
- A. I got a new assignment to the gangs 9
- 10 west unit, but it wasn't until 1998 after I was
- assigned to the sixth district Duane was 11
- promoted to sergeant and he was assigned to 12
- sixth, and that's when I worked with him 13
- extensively. We were assigned to the same 14
- watch. 15
- Q. If you can describe that. How long 16
- you worked with Duane as a sergeant together in 17
- the sixth district? 18
- A. I believe it was approximately about 19
- two years, two and a half years. And we were 20
- assigned to the third watch. And we basically 21
- 22 were doing roll calls together. We were on the

other up for different assignments, and again

street together. Obviously we backed each 23

- strived to be and continue to be to this day.
- 2 Q. Okay. And how about have you spoken
- 3 to any of Duane's coworkers or people that work
- for him, and have you been able to ascertain
- what his reputation is like on the police 5
- 6 department?
- A. Everyone I spoke to who has worked 7
- under Duane or with Duane basically said the 8
- 9 same opinion, there is -- I mean nobody that I
- bumped into had anything majorly bad to say. 10
- Some people might have been a little upset that 11
- he went to their jobs and ensured that they did 12
- it right, which wasn't always the easiest way. 13
- But again, it always followed the department 14
- procedure and law and had to be done. 15
- 16 Q. And how about as far as your knowledge
- 17 of Duane Bennett socially, have you ever known
- him to be an individual that would smoke 18
- 19 marijuana?
- 20 A. No.
- 21 Q. Or use it in any other fashion?
- 22 A. No, I've never seen him or never
- even -- after he would come to work --23
- obviously, we all had family functions and 24

Page 91 Bennett? parties and we'd come to work the next day or later after the event, and there was never any A. On several occasions, ves. 2 2 sign of any impairment that I ever observed at O. With his family as well? 3 3 A. I did, yes. 4 4 O. And how about the neighborhood, have MR. HERBERT: Nothing further. 5 HEARING OFFICER WALKER: Cross. you spoken to people that live in the same 6 MR. POLK: No cross-examination. neighborhood as you as to what type of 7 HEARING OFFICER WALKER: Thank you. You reputation Duane has in the neighborhood? 8 9 may be excused. 9 A. I have, yes. THE WITNESS: Thank you. Q. How would you characterize his 10 10 (Witness excused.) reputation? 11 11 (Witness was duly A. He has the highest reputation you can 12 12 sworn.) have. Everybody thinks highly of him. He is a 13 13 PATRICIA CIARA. good neighbor, a good friend. Basically him 14 14 called as a witness herein, after having been and his wife babysat my oldest guys for a year 15 15 first duly sworn, was examined and testified as when both my wife and I were working. Felt 16 16 17 follows: very comfortable leaving my kids with him. 17 DIRECT EXAMINATION They're grown up with his kids together. 18 18 BY MR. HERBERT: O. Have you ever known or have you ever 19 19 20 Q. Good afternoon, sir. How are you? 20 heard anything from talking to the neighbors 21 A. Good. about Duane Bennett liking to smoke marijuana 21 22 Q. Please introduce yourself and spell or ingest marijuana in any way? 22 your last name for the court reporter. A. Absolutely not, never have. 23 23 24 A. My name is Daniel Lockard. Q. As far as Duane Bennett as a police 24 Page 90 Page 92 L-O-C-K-A-R-D. officer, you obviously worked with him, you had 1 2 Q. And, Mr. Lockard, by whom are you the ability to observe him work. 2 employed? How would you characterize his 3 3 A. Chicago Police Department. work as an officer? 4 4 A. He is a very hard worker. He's had a 5 Q. How long have you been a Chicago 5 police officer? lot of tougher assignments than I have 6 6 7 A. Since 1989. throughout my career, so I kind of -- not 7 8 Q. And what rank do you currently hold? envied him, but had more respect for him, and 8 9 A. Sergeant. 9 the jobs he's been doing throughout the year on Q. And do you know my client Duane the department. 10 10 Bennett? Q. How about the people that worked for 11 11 A. I do. him and worked with him, what type of 12 12 reputation did he have with those individuals? 13 Q. How is it you know Sergeant Bennett? 13 A. Duane and I lived across the street 14 A. He had a great reputation. Everybody 14 from each other for several years. And we wanted -- everybody liked being with him when 15 15 worked the squad car together as patrolmen in he was on the tact teams back in the day. They 16 16 21 back in the early '90s. And I still live in were very close. All backed each other up. It 17 17 the neighborhood. I'm around the corner now. was a very close-knit group of guys. 18 18 Q. Around the corner from Duane Bennett? The watch was the same way, when 19 19 One block away. we had watches, when we went around the clock, 20 20 Q. And would you consider yourself a 21 they were very close. And just the highest 21 22 friend of Duane Bennett's? 22 feelings for him. 23 A. Absolutely. Q. And, finally, what type of character 23 as a man do you think Duane Bennett has? 24 Q. And have you socialized with Duane

Page 93 A. He has the highest character. He'll 1 help you out if you need anything. He is there 2 for you. I'd leave my children with him. We 3 3 went to Europe one time and the guys stayed 4 4 with Jean and Duane for a week. 5 5 MR. HERBERT: I have nothing further. 6 6 7 7 HEARING OFFICER WALKER: 8 9 Cross-examination. 9 MR. POLK: No cross. 10 10 HEARING OFFICER WALKER: Thank you, sir. 11 11 12 You may be excused. 12 13 THE WITNESS: You're welcome. 13 (Witness Excused.) 14 14 (Witness was duly 15 15 sworn.) 16 16 PATRICIA CIARA, 17 17 called as a witness herein, after having been 18 first duly sworn, was examined and testified as 19 19 20 follows: 20 DIRECT EXAMINATION 21 21 BY MR. HERBERT: 22 22 23 Q. Good afternoon. How are you? 23 A. Good afternoon. I'm fine. Thank you. 24

Page 95 O. Different levels? A. Approximately five times. Q. Okay. And you know my client Duane Bennett, correct? A. Yes, I do. Q. How do you know Duane Bennett? A. I've known Officer Bennett since 1992, both socially and professionally. Q. And professionally, how is it that you came to know about Duane Bennett? A. During the course of my tenure, part of my duties was a front-line supervisor. So as relief field officer, I would rotate throughout the entire City. And I would go on calls and occasionally Sergeant Bennett was on these calls. We would work together. He and his teams would help us in any way with injured, ill individuals, traffic control if we needed it. I ran the entire medical facility for the Taste of Chicago for five years. And Officer Bennett had a detail there with several officers. And so we worked closely together on these -- this detail. And several others.

Page 94

Page 96

Q. You're welcome. Would you please introduce yourself and spell your last name.
A. My name is Patricia, middle initial A. Last name is spelled C-I-A-R-A, pronounced Ciara.

Q. And I see that you're wearing theChicago Fire Department uniform. Is that who

8 you are employed by, currently?

9 A. That is correct. I'm on disability

10 with the Chicago Fire Department, but I'm still

11 considered an active member.

12 Q. Okay. What rank do you hold?

13 A. My rank is district chief, and my

14 title when I left the department on disability

15 it was director of personnel.

16 Q. Okay. And how long have you been

17 employed by the City of Chicago as a

18 firefighter?

1

3

4

19 A. Since 1980. I'm a paramedic, not a

20 firefighter.

Q. Thank you for the clarification. And

22 you've been promoted throughout your career as

23 paramedic?

24 A. That's correct.

1 Chicago would put on.

2 O. How would you characterize the work

Parades, and other events that the City of

3 that you saw him perform?

4 A. Very professional. Do whatever is

5 necessary in order to help the fire department,

whether it's firemen or paramedics, with

7 traffic control, with anything that we ask them

to do. Close off a street because we needed to

get ambulances, perhaps in and out of an

10 accident scene or some sort of contained fire

issue, things of that nature.

12 Q. How is his reputation amongst your

13 coworkers and his coworkers?

14 A. I honestly can't say for my coworkers.

15 But as far as me personally, he's one of the

best. We -- paramedics work very, very closely

17 with police officers throughout the entire

City. And when we hear an officer in distress, we do whatever we have to do in order to get

there, because we want to help them. They, in

21 turn, including Officer Bennett, if we call for

22 a ten-one, which is officer needs assistance,

23 he -- and all of the police officers, come our

4 aid as quickly as they possibly can.

Page 97 Page 99 Q. You said that you've gotten to know A. Yes. 1 1 Sergeant Bennett on a personal level? O. And were you patrolman prior to that? 2 A. Correct. 3 3 4 Q. And when did that personal friendship 4 Q. And where do you currently work? start and how would you characterize it? A. Sixth district. 5 5 A. 1992, I was best friends with his Q. And is that the only place that you've sister. We have shared Thanksgiving, Christmas worked as a lieutenant? A. No, I worked the third district as 8 meals together, graduation parties. I have been to his home with his family there and been 9 lieutenant as well. 9 invited. He has been invited to my home. And 10 10 Q. And as a sergeant, where did you work? so we have -- we have socialized since 1992. A. Worked in the detective division, plus 11 11 I worked in the fifth district. 12 Q. Have you ever known Duane Bennett to 12 be somebody that uses marijuana? Q. And as patrolman, where did you work? 13 13 A. Never. A. As patrolman then I got promoted to 14 14 Q. What type of character does Duane agent in the Internal Affairs Division. 15 15 Bennett have as a person? Q. And what type of work did you perform 16 17 A. As far as I'm concerned, the best. 17 while in internal affairs? Aces. A. Everything. I worked in the 18 18 MR. HERBERT: Thank you. Nothing further. confidential section. 19 19 **HEARING OFFICER WALKER: Cross?** Q. How long did you work there? 20 20 MR. POLK: No cross. A. 11 years. 21 21 22 HEARING OFFICER WALKER: Thank you. You 22 Q. Okay. And you know my client Duane 23 may be excused. 23 Bennett, correct? (Witness Excused.) 24 A. Yes, I do. Page 98 Page 100 (Witness was duly Q. How is it you know Duane Bennett? 1 1 sworn.) A. I know Duane from the fifth district 2 2 RONALD FORGUE. and also from the neighborhood, his son played 3 3 called as a witness herein, after having been basketball with my son. 4 first duly sworn, was examined and testified as Q. And as far as -- when did you first 5 5 meet approximately Duane Bennett on the police 6 follows: 6 DIRECT EXAMINATION 7 7 department? A. When I was in the fifth district. BY MR. HERBERT: 8 O. Good afternoon, Lieutenant. How are 9 Q. And approximately what year was that? 9 A. Maybe ten years ago. you? 10 10 Q. And did you work with Duane Bennett? 11 A. Good, sir. 11 Q. Please introduce yourself, spell your A. Yes, I did. 12 12 last name for the court reporter. Q. And how would you characterize the 13 13 14 A. Lieutenant Ronald Forgue, F-O-R-G-U-E. 14 work that Duane Bennett performed as a police Q. And you're a lieutenant for the 15 15 officer? Chicago Police Department, correct? 16 16 A. Duane is a guy you want beside you. 17 A. Yes. sir. 17 He responded to -- every call you were on he Q. How long have you been employed by the was right there next to you. 18 18 Chicago Police Department? Q. Did you have the ability to determine 19 19 A. 27 years. whether or not he was honest or had integrity 20 20 Q. How long have you held the rank of as a police officer? 21 21 lieutenant? A. Absolutely he had integrity. 22 22 Q. And what about his representation 23 A. Since September. 23 24 Q. And prior to that, you were sergeant? amongst his coworkers, were you ever able to

Page 101 Page 103 speak with any of those individuals and 1 (Witness Excused.) determine what his representation was like? 2 (Witness was duly 2 A. They loved Duane. He was fair, you 3 sworn.) 3 know. By the rules. But fair. He made sure OFFICER BAADER, 4 4 cars were available. And the other thing was 5 called as a witness herein, after having been 5 number one thing was officer safety. first duly sworn, was examined and testified as 6 Q. As far as your knowing Duane socially, follows: 7 8 you said that you know him because Duane 8 DIRECT EXAMINATION coached your son in basketball? BY MR. HERBERT: 9 9 A. Yes. 10 10 Q. Good afternoon, Officer. How are you? Q. And would you characterize your A. Good afternoon, I am well. 11 11 relationship with him as a friendship? Q. Please introduce yourself and spell 12 12 A. Yes. your last name. 13 13 Q. Okay. And you said that you're from A. I'm Officer Baader, B-A-A-D-E-R. 14 14 the same neighborhood or you currently reside Chicago Police Department. 15 15 in the same neighborhood? Q. And where do you work right now? 16 16 A. Correct. A. I work in the fifth district. 17 17 O. Have you been out socially with Duane Q. And that's as a patrol officer? 18 18 A. Yes. Bennett? 19 19 20 A. Once or twice. 20 O. How long have you been a Chicago 21 Q. And have you spoken to any of the 21 police officer? neighbors that know Duane Bennett? A. Ten years. 22 22 23 A. Yes. 23 Q. How do you know my client Duane Q. And what type of reputation does he Bennett? 24 24 Page 102 Page 104 have in the neighborhood? A. I've worked for him for the past four 1 1 2 A. Standup guy. A parent that's years approximately. involved. One that you could trust your kid Q. And when you say you worked for him, 3 3 with. That one you wouldn't think twice about, he was your sergeant? A. Yes. 5 okay, that's fine. 5 Q. And that coaching position that he Q. And was a tact team or on the watch? 6 6 A. On the watch. held with your son, that was a volunteer 7 7 Q. Okay. And how would you characterize position? 8 8 Sergeant Bennett as a supervisor? 9 A. Yes. 9 Q. And finally, did you ever know Duane A. He is one of the finest supervisors on 10 10 Bennett to be a marijuana smoker or ingesting the street. 11 11 it in any other way? Q. Why do you say that? 12 12 A. Absolutely not. What about Duane Bennett makes 13 13 Q. Did anyone in the neighborhood ever him being one of the finest sergeants on the 14 14 tell you that he had a representation of street? 15 15 smoking marijuana or anything along those A. When you hear him responding to the 16 16 lines? 17 job, you know it's going to be all right. That 17 it's going to be handled with dignity, honesty, A. Absolutely not. 18 18 Q. Nothing further. professionalism, and you have a good sergeant 19 19 **HEARING OFFICER WALKER:** who's doing his job, and knows how to do his 20 20 Cross-examination. 21 21 MR. POLK: No cross. 22 Q. How about your coworkers, what type of 22 reputation does Sergeant Bennett have with the 23 HEARING OFFICER WALKER: Thank you, sir. 23 people that are under his command? You may be excused.

Jua	ne A. Bennett		May 21, 20
	Page 105		Page 10
1	A. He is extremely well liked and	1	STATE OF ILLINOIS) SS:
2	respected.	2	COUNTY OF C O O K)
3	Q. And have you been able to determine	3	
4	what type of character he has as a person	4	MAUREEN A. WOODMAN, C.S.R., being first
5	during the years that you've known him?	5	duly sworn, says that she is a court reporter
6	A. Yes. He has also become a very good	6	doing business in the City of Chicago; that she
7	friend of mine.	7	reported in shorthand the proceedings had at
8	Q. Have you ever known Duane Bennett to	8	the hearing of said cause; that the foregoing
9	be somebody that uses marijuana?	9	is a true and correct transcript of her
0	A. No.	10	shorthand notes, so taken as aforesaid, and
1	Q. Did you ever hear anyone talk about	11	contains all the proceedings of said hearing.
2	Duane Bennett using marijuana in any way?	12	
3	A. No, absolutely not.	13	
4	MR. HERBERT: I have nothing further.	14	
5	HEARING OFFICER WALKER: Any cross?	15	
.6	MR. POLK: No cross.	16	MAUREEN A. WOODMAN
7	HEARING OFFICER WALKER: Thank you. You	17	
		18	
8	may be excused. (Witness Excused.)	19	
9			
0	(Discussion off the	20	
1	record.)	21	
2	HEARING OFFICER WALKER: Let's go back on	22	
3	the record.	23	
4	Any other witnesses for today,	24	
	Page 106		
1	Mr. Herbert?		
2	MR. HERBERT: No more today.		
3	THE WITNESS: All right. We previously		
4	did off-the-record discussion for a continued		
5	date for the hearing when the Department's		
6	expert will be available for rebuttal, and then		
7	the Respondent's remaining witnesses, and then		1
8	closing arguments and that's going to be June		7
9	5, 2013, at 1:30 p.m. That's all for today.		5 2
0	(WHEREUPON, the proceedings		2 0
1	were adjourned and continued		POLICE BOARD
2	to June 5, 2013, at the hour		
3	of 1:30 p.m.)		_ >
4	or riso pinn)		_ x
5			ည္
6			•
7			
8			
9			
0			
1			
2			
-			
3			